

PEOPLE & GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE

Minutes of the meeting of the People & Governance Committee held on Wednesday 18 November 2020 via video conference

Present:	Shirley Collier (Chair of Governors) Jack Commandeur (Chair of Finance & General Purposes Committee) Helen Crews (Chair of Audit Committee) Alex Hughes (Vice Chair & Chair of Remuneration Committee) Lee Probert (Chief Executive and Principal) Fiona Thompson (Chair of Q&C)			
In Attendance	Louise Doswell – Deputy CEO/Deputy Principal: Partnerships and Development David Hawkins - Vice Principal for Finance and Professional Services lan Looker – Chair Designate Elizabeth McClure Director of Governance Graeme Murdoch -Deputy CEO/Deputy Principal: Quality and Student Experience			
	Chair's opening remarks and discussion			
	It was noted that Jack Commandeur had not yet joined the meeting but			
	In opening the meeting, the Chair welcomed the new Director of Gov McClure. It was noted that Ian Looker, Chair Designate, was in attenda would become a formal member of the Committee in the New Year.			
	The Chair asked if any member wished to discuss any Part 2 agenda items; the membe confirmed that the items had been noted but no discussion was required.			
		<u>Action</u>		
	Item 1. Apologies for Absence / Declarations of Interest The DoG confirmed that no apologies had been received.			
	The Dog confirmed that no apologies had been received.			
	There were no declarations of interest.			
	Item 2: Minutes of Previous Meeting			
	item 2. Willutes of Previous Meeting			
	Members considered the minutes of the meeting held on 1 July 2020.			
	The Chair confirmed that some minor textual amendments were required, and these would be emailed to the DoG. There were no further comments.	DoG		
	Subject to the amendment, the Committee resolved to approve the minutes of the previous meeting.			
	Item 3: Matters Arising			
	3.1: Action Summary Sheet			
		1		

The Committee reviewed the actions summary and noted that all actions were either complete or on the agenda.	DoG
It was noted that Governor-staff interaction may have been impacted by remote working as a result of Covid-19. It was agreed that all Link Governors should be reminded to contact the SLT to arrange meetings with their staff links.	Chief Executive and Principal/ DoG
The Committee resolved to note the actions and progress made.	
3.2: Any Other Matters Arising	
None	
Jack Commandeur joined the meeting.	
Item 4. Strategic Planning	
4.1 Strategy Plan Implementation – Pillar 3	
The Principal presented Pillar 3 of the Strategic Plan. It was noted that the outline of the six pillars was agreed but that the details could be influenced by Committee input. The Chair confirmed that each committee of the Governing Body would review the section of the plan relevant to them and that the People & Governance Committee had been tasked by the Board to consider Pillar 3, particularly in the context of succession planning.	
The Committee questioned how governor oversight of the plan operated where there were overlapping factors, for example, investing in people would fit into both the 'People' and 'Investment' pillars. The Principal confirmed that the read-across would be signalled in the 'Investment' pillar which would cover how overlapping objectives had been achieved in other pillars. It was further noted that the 'Partnerships' pillar was reported into the F&GP, as was data on absence rates. It was agreed that it was the remit of the Chairs of those committees to report to the People & Governance Committee when necessary and relevant.	AII
Graeme Murdoch joined the meeting.	
The Chair questioned how outcomes were measured in each area. It was noted that specific targets could be helpful but that the strategic plan must be a hybrid of tangible and less tangible factors. The Vice Principal for Finance and Professional Services confirmed that there had previously been a lengthy KPI document which had been reduced as it had become unwieldy. The Committee agreed with this rationale but noted that it was necessary to ensure that less tangible objectives did not escape attention. It was agreed that a small list of indicators for those objectives that were less easy to measure should be compiled. This could include link governor meetings and the staff survey. The indicators should be reviewed on a planned basis and the Committee should be expected to look at particular areas of the strategic plan in detail across the annual meeting schedule.	Principal, DoG, SLT DoG
	It was noted that Governor-staff interaction may have been impacted by remote working as a result of Covid-19. It was agreed that all Link Governors should be reminded to contact the SLT to arrange meetings with their staff links. The Committee resolved to note the actions and progress made. 3.2: Any Other Matters Arising None Jack Commandeur joined the meeting. Item 4. Strategic Planning 4.1 Strategy Plan Implementation – Pillar 3 The Principal presented Pillar 3 of the Strategic Plan. It was noted that the outline of the six pillars was agreed but that the details could be influenced by Committee input. The Chair confirmed that each committee of the Governing Body would review the section of the plan relevant to them and that the People & Governance Committee had been tasked by the Board to consider Pillar 3, particularly in the context of succession planning. The Committee questioned how governor oversight of the plan operated where there were overlapping factors, for example, investing in people would fit into both the 'People' and 'Investment' pillars. The Principal confirmed that the read-across would be signalled in the 'Investment' pillar which would cover how overlapping objectives had been achieved in other pillars. It was further noted that the 'Partnerships' pillar was reported into the F&GP, as was data on absence rates. It was agreed that it was the remit of the Chairs of those committees to report to the People & Governance Committee when necessary and relevant. Graeme Murdoch joined the meeting. The Chair questioned how outcomes were measured in each area. It was noted that specific targets could be helpful but that the strategic plan must be a hybrid of tangible and less tangible factors. The Vice Principal for Finance and Professional Services confirmed that there had previously been a lengthy KPI document which had been reduced as it had become unwieldy. The Committee agreed with this rationale but noted that it was necessary to ensure that less tangible objectives did not escape at

It was noted that the staff survey could be amended to include more college-specific questions but that this would affect the ability to benchmark. It may also be difficult to measure cultural shifts in this way, due to their longer-term nature. The Committee discussed strategy in the context of performance management and internal progression. It was agreed that there was a need to consider which skills would be necessary for the future, tied to the direction of the institution. It was agreed that internal progression should not only be viewed as progression up the hierarchy and should Principal/SLT be considered in the context of progression within the sector and noted that the plan did not adequately capture this at present. The Committee discussed succession planning, particularly at the senior level. It was noted that the role of Chief Executive and Principal must be advertised for general recruitment and therefore issues of succession pertained to who may be able to step up if a key member of staff was unable to fulfil their role. It was agreed that succession should be considered in the context of business continuity as well as replacement. In terms of the Strategic Leadership Team, this was a matter for the Remuneration committee. In broader terms, emergent leadership talent rather than individual succession should be the focus. The Deputy CEO/Deputy Principal: Quality and Student Experience confirmed that the College was running an aspiring leadership programme, as well as a "Leading from the Middle" programme. It was noted that three managers had completed the latter, and another four were scheduled to participate. The Committee agreed that it was vital that all staff understood the succession and talent management strategies. The Chief Executive and Principal agreed to incorporate the Committee's feedback. **Principal** The Committee resolved to note the strategic plan and concentrate on individual items at future meetings. 4.3 Strategic Planning Day It was noted that the Governors Strategic Planning day was scheduled for 20 January 2021. The Chair Designate noted that the FE White Paper was likely to significantly impact the Strategic Plan and may well Chair influence what was discussed on the day. It was agreed that the Chair Designate/DoG Designate would work with the Principal and the DoG to develop an agenda for discussion. The Committee noted that the event was likely to have to take place online due to Covid 19 restrictions. Item 5. People 5.1 Staff Survey The Deputy CEO/Deputy Principal: Quality and Student Experience presented the results of the staff survey. It was noted that whilst the sample size was smaller than in the previous year, there were some very positive results. The Committee agreed and noted significant improvements in scores for some of the lowest scoring questions, though these remained the same issues as the prior year,

The Committee noted that the scores for the 'Me' section, whilst improved, were low compared to other colleges. It was noted that the

results may have been impacted by the recent restructur CEO/Deputy Principal: Quality and Student Experience he had had recent positive discussions about the communication and general handling of the Covid-19 page	confirmed that College's staff
The Chair noted that it would be beneficial to show the tra a three-year period to demonstrate the improvement mon agreed that in future the actions needed to be more clea allow the governors to more easily monitor progress.	e clearly. It was GAM
The Deputy CEO/Deputy Principal: Quality and Stud confirmed that results were communicated back to st edition of the Staff News, which included all headli benchmarking data.	aff in a special
The Committee resolved the report and progress ma	de.
5.2 Staff Training and Development The Deputy CEO/Deputy Principal: Quality and Stud presented a paper on Staff Training and Development. felt that the paper was very comprehensive and sh results. The Committee requested that the Head Development be thanked for the clear progress which ha	ent Experience The Committee owed excellent of Continuing
The Committee discussed the move from Performan Professional Development Review and from data behaviours and values, thus creating more meaningful Committee asked whether viewing samples of PDRs wo The Deputy CEO/Deputy Principal: Quality and Studies confirmed that he would seek guidance on this.	o a focus on Il reviews. The uld be possible; GAM
The Committee resolved to note the actions and pro	gress made.

Item 6: Corporate Governance and Assurance	
6.1 Review of Governance Self-Assessment	
The Chair presented a paper providing an overview of the Governance Self-Assessment and Chair's Appraisal for the prior year. In was noted that governance in the college remains strong and has particularly improved in relation to governor involvement in strategy.	
It was agreed that the DoG, alongside the new Chair, would carry out a full review of the Governance Self-Assessment process before next year's assessment. This would consider efficacy, timing, format and question focus, amongst other things.	
The Committee discussed the Chair's suggestions for factors to be incorporated into the 2020/21 Governance Quality Improvement Plan (QIP). It was agreed that the expectations around ambassadorial role of governors in college and the wider community should be added and should also be a focus of the forthcoming Strategy Day. Similarly, it was agreed that support for governors to feel connected to the College, particularly during the pandemic, was required.	
The Committee also agreed that it was vital to raise governors' awareness around excellence in teaching and learning provision. It was agreed that this should be incorporated into the standard induction process and ongoing governor training.	DoG
The strengthening of committee reporting to the Board was noted as a vital part of the current governance model and should be an ongoing aim. The possibility of utilising the existing Governors' Portal more effectively, alongside Part 2 agendas, was discussed and it was agreed that the DoG would work with the Chair Designate to review this.	DoG
The Committee resolved that all items noted about would be added to the 2020-21 Governance QIP.	DoG
6.2 Governance QIP monitoring	
The Committee reviewed the Governance QIP for 2019-20 and noted the suggested updates. The DoG confirmed that the QIP would be updated following the meeting and referred for discussion at the SAR Meeting on 24 November 2020.	
It was agreed that significant and effective improvements had been made to the risk register over the prior year and it could now be removed from the QIP.	
It was agreed that Committee papers could still be reduced in scale, notably by the inclusion of more diagrammatic representations of data.	
It was agreed that the items discussed in 6.1 would be added to the QIP before it was referred to the SAR.	DoG
Jack Commandeur left the meeting.	

6.3 Succession Planning

The Committee reviewed a paper outlining immediate succession planning needs. It was noted that the Chair and Vice-Chair would both step down in December 2020. The DoG confirmed that a maximum of two new governors could be appointed and a Vice-Chair should be appointed from the existing governors.

The Chair Designate confirmed that the recent skills audit had identified three main skills gaps amongst the Board: expertise in FE; expertise in technology, particularly cyber security; and connection to the local community. It was noted that it had historically proved very difficult to appoint a Governor with FE expertise, but it was agreed that this was the main priority for recruitment. The Committee discussed methods of recruitment and agreed that a mixed strategy of targeted advertisements and utilising local contacts was optimal.

It was noted that the 'community connection' skills gap was a very broad area and could be improved by regular governor liaison with local bodies, for example the CBI. It was further noted that the college had a broad catchment area and becoming too York-centric may not be advantageous.

It was agreed that the Principal, Chair Designate and the DoG would develop a recruitment profile and strategy.

Principal/Chair Designate/DoG

The Committee resolved to recommend to the Board that:

- Two new governors be appointed
- That FE expertise, followed by technology expertise be targeted as key skills

6.4 Vice Chair Role

The Chair Designate presented an updated role description for the Vice Chair. It was noted that the role description was largely unchanged except for the addition of fulfilling the role of a senior independent governor. The current Vice-Chair confirmed that the description was an accurate overview of the role.

It was also noted that the Vice-Chair was likely to be asked to Chair a Committee – Remuneration or People & Governance. It was agreed that volunteers for the role should be sought first and a decision about the Chairs made depending on the Vice-Chair's preference/skill set. It was noted that Terms of Reference may need to be amended if committee composition changed as a result. The Chair noted the importance of board diversity in considering the new role; the departure of the Chair and Vice-Chair meant losing two female members of the Governing Body, both occupying the most senior positions. It was agreed that maintaining diversity and encouraging female governors to apply for the role should be prioritised.

The DoG noted that this matter was time sensitive as the Vice-Chair role was required under the Instruments and Articles.

Chair Designate

	The DoG presented a paper on the College's Governance Model, updating a paper previously considered by the Committee in October 2019. It was recommended that the College's current model of governance by committee should not be replaced by a model of 'Policy Governance' (the 'Carver' model). The Committee agreed that the current model of governance functioned effectively and particularly noted	
	the point that when colleges using the Carver model become the subject of intervention by the FE Commissioner, it is common for the model to be recommended for removal in favour of a committee model.	
	The Committee resolved to recommend to the Board that the current governance model be retained.	
	Summary matters	
	Item 7: Key Meeting Outcomes and/or Actions	
	7.1 Matters for report to the Governing Body (if any)	
	Succession PlansThe review of the Governance Model	DoG
	Item 7.2 Matters for report to Audit Committee (if any)	
	• None	
	Item 8: Any Other Business	
	None.	
	Item 9: Confidential Matter	
	All attendees left the meeting to allow the members of the Committee to discuss a confidential matter.	
	Item 10: Date of Next Meeting	
	The meeting closed at: 19.10hrs	
Signed (Chair):	Date:	