

## Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Countywide Community Safety Strategic Board

Tuesday 20<sup>th</sup> October 2020, 15:00 – 16:30, via Microsoft Teams**ACTIONS**

	<b>Action</b>	<b>Owner</b>
1	Alasdair Baker to circulate a link to the Cambridgeshire Housing Allocations Policy to the Board.	Alasdair Baker
2	Alasdair Baker to amend the current Countywide Community Safety Agreement to reflect its extended use, and circulate to partners.	Alasdair Baker

**Minutes**

<b>Present</b>		
<b>Name</b>		<b>Representing</b>
Ray Bisby	RB	Police and Crime Commissioner (Chairman), Criminal Justice Board
Jim Haylett	JH	Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner
Claire Higgins	CH	Safer Peterborough Partnership, Cross Keys Homes
Jo Procter	JP	Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Safeguarding Boards
Rob Hill	RH	Cambridgeshire County & Peterborough City Councils, DA & SV Delivery Group, PREVENT Delivery Group
ACC Vicki Evans	VE	Cambridgeshire Constabulary, Joint Youth Justice Management Board
Susie Talbot	ST	Public Health, Drug & Alcohol Misuse Delivery Group
Jo Lancaster	JL	Huntingdonshire District Council, Huntingdonshire Place Based Board
Ryan Stacey	RS	Cambridgeshire Fire and Rescue Service, South Cambridgeshire CSP
Anna Jack	AJ	Cambridgeshire County & Peterborough City Council Youth Service
Leigh Roberts	LR	Cambridgeshire Research Group
Cllr Lis Every	LE	East Cambridgeshire District Council, East Cambridgeshire CSP
Lewis Bage	LB	East Cambridgeshire District Council, East Cambridgeshire CSP
Dan Horn	DH	Fenland District Council, Fenland CSP
Matthew Ryder	MR	National Probation Service, CJB Offender Sub-Group
Jo Curphey	JC	BeNCH CRC, CJB Offender Sub-Group
Chris Evans	CE	Peterborough Prison
Lynda Kilkelly	LK	Cambridge City Council, Cambridge City CSP
Kathryn Hawkes	KH	South Cambridgeshire District Council, South Cambridgeshire CSP
David Greening	DG	Cambridge City Council
Mark Greenhalgh	MG	Cambridgeshire Constabulary
Heather Wood	HW	South Cambridgeshire District Council
Nicky Phillipson	NP	Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner, DA/SV Delivery Group
Cristina Strood	CS	Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner, CJB Offender Sub-Group
Peter Campbell	PC	South Cambridgeshire District Council
Jamie Rice	JR	Cambridgeshire Constabulary
Alasdair Baker	AB	Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner (minutes)

Apologies		
Name		Representing
Debbie Kaye	DK	Cambridge City Council, Cambridge City CSP
Dr Emma Tiffin	ET	Cambridgeshire & Peterborough CCG, Mental Health Delivery Group
Dr Liz Robin	LR	Public Health, Drug & Alcohol Misuse Delivery Group
Suzanne Hemingway	SH	Cambridge City Council, The Housing Board
Carol Anderson	CA	Cambridgeshire and Peterborough CCG
Richard Lumley	RL	Vision Zero Partnership CCC Highways
Julie Farrow	JF	Support Cambridgeshire, Hunts Forum
Cllr Susan Wallwork	SW	Fenland District Council, Fenland CSP

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction &amp; apologies</b>
1.1	Partners were welcomed to the meeting and apologies noted.
<b>2</b>	<b>Updates from Delivery Groups as set out in the Countywide Community Safety Agreement</b>
2.1	Delivery group chairs were asked to highlight any key issues affecting their thematic group and make any requests for support. This supplemented their circulated updates.
2.2	<b>Vision Zero Partnership:</b> The partnership has been working to develop and embed a new strategy, no support from the Board was requested.
2.3	<b>CCJB Offender Group:</b> core business has remained ensuring pathways into support services e.g. substance misuse, housing, employment remain operational. A critical area moving forward remains preventing homelessness for people leaving prison and securing long term housing solutions for them.
2.4	Probation reform is running in parallel with response and recovery efforts. The NPS and CRCs are on track to reunification by June 2021.
2.5	DH flagged a consultation on Housing Allocations Policy in Cambridgeshire, opening on the 23 <sup>rd</sup> October.
<b>2.6</b>	<b>ACTION: Alasdair Baker to circulate a link to the Cambridgeshire Housing Allocations Policy consultation to the Board.</b>
2.7	<b>Mental Health Delivery Board:</b> the board has continued to meet and is seeing demand increase and people present with more complex needs.
2.8	The ongoing challenge is responding to a rapidly changing landscape which can potentially leave vulnerable people isolated for a very long time.
2.9	<b>Drug and Alcohol Misuse Delivery Board:</b> seeing a similar picture to mental health, with an increase in presentations (often linked to mental health) to specialist services.

2.10	Presentations are also later – this could be lack of awareness or people are hesitant to access support.
2.11	They reported an increase in access for alcohol support and the board is monitoring the illicit drugs market.
2.12	Covid has impacted on the “street life” community with some able to access services more easily while housed under “everyone in”, and other seeing an increase in drinking. The common positive is a better ability to manage complexities.
2.13	The changed nature of shopping, with fewer shops open, and staff being more visible and vigilant has made shops a more hostile environment for crime, reducing opportunities for acquisitive crime linked to drug or alcohol misuse.
2.14	<b>Domestic Abuse and Sexual Violence Delivery Board:</b> the board has seen a rise in demand for both IDVA and Outreach Services, with a particular spike in Peterborough focused in A8 communities and young people.
2.15	A proposal for the co-ordination of the funding and completion of rising numbers of DHRs is going to the Safeguarding Board. The final stages of negotiation are taking place.
2.16	The local authority is co-ordinating the response and implementation of elements of a new Domestic Abuse Bill. This will place a new statutory duty on them linked to accommodation-related support for survivors of domestic abuse.
2.17	The existing Domestic Abuse and Sexual Violence Delivery Board will fulfil some of the statutory functions required by the Bill.
2.18	The Board do expect to see a continued rise in reports of domestic abuse in line with the reopening of schools.
2.19	<b>PREVENT Delivery Board:</b> The Board has not met in a strategic capacity throughout Covid, the next meeting is in early November. All operational meetings, e.g. CONTEST and Channel Panel have been meeting. Referrals have been steady and assessments and interventions have continued.
2.20	The Board will review its operation and focus in line with national Home Office requests, and will have the help of an experienced independent person to do this.
2.21	Partners were reminded of the importance of this area of work, and asked to remain engaged, and find suitable representatives to attend where requested.
2.22	<b>Child Criminal Exploitation Delivery Group:</b> The group has met more regularly through Covid and implemented an interim Covid plan and is updating its strategic plan.
2.23	CSPs are seen as integral to future delivery and a delivery group will be established.

2.24	A suite of online training tools has been developed, and remains free to partners.
2.25	Child Criminal Exploitation crosses over with a lot of strategic workstreams, and the group is keen all partners are joined up.
2.26	<b>Youth Justice Management Board:</b> The Board has continued to meet and attendance and membership has been aided by the move to virtual meetings.
2.27	Progress continues against the Youth Justice Plan and a virtual HMIP inspection has taken place. The report is due out in November and it is expected to be positive. Once released it will inform an improvement plan.
2.28	There has been an increase in young people Not in Employment, Education or Training (NEET), and there are challenges with this vulnerable group. A task and finish group has been established to look at managing this risk.
2.29	The Board has added the topic of disproportionality, particularly around BAME and young people into the plan with discussions on actions and scrutiny arrangements ongoing.
2.30	<b>Organised Crime and Modern Slavery Delivery Group:</b> the delivery group has seen a mixed picture, with the threat not as severe as initially predicted.
2.31	There is continued activity from Organised Crime Groups (OCGs), and activity like county lines is creeping into non-traditional areas.
2.32	The group is balancing Covid response with “business as usual” work and has seen work become more diverse.
2.33	The threat profile is being refreshed and will be complete in mid-November.
2.34	RB reminded partners these are areas of work that manage high risk and high harm and he was pleased to see every group is working to understand the issues it is managing (with an evidence base), and has up-to-date strategy and delivery plan in place.
<b>3</b>	<b>Updates from Community Safety Partnerships</b>
3.1	CSP chairs were given the opportunity to highlight key issues and request support supplementing the updates given in the reports circulated for item 3.
3.2	<b>East Cambridgeshire CSP:</b> LE was keen to highlight the positive change in East Cambs, with the higher profile of the CSP and better engagement of partners.
3.3	Carers, including young and informal carers have been identified as a cohort to target support at.

<p>3.4</p> <p>3.5</p> <p>3.6</p> <p>3.7</p> <p>3.8</p> <p>3.9</p> <p>3.10</p> <p>3.11</p> <p>3.12</p> <p>3.13</p>	<p><b>Fenland CSP:</b> Fenland has seen a smooth transition to virtual working and remains engaged with the delivery groups including the DA/SV group, CCJB Offender group, CCE group and the Modern Slavery group.</p> <p><b>Huntingdonshire Place Based Board:</b> Covid work has taken a priority, but this has also seen positive changes for the Place Based Board with an expanded and consolidated community network. This has helped underline the connections between community safety and health outcomes, and partners are asked to continue to offer support.</p> <p><b>Safer Peterborough Partnership:</b> Meetings have stepped up in frequency and have helped co-ordinate the Covid response. Have seen similar trends to Huntingdonshire with expanded and consolidated community networks.</p> <p>Similar to East Cambridgeshire, SPP is looking at work around carers and young carers and the support they need, as well as people without recourse to public funds.</p> <p><b>South Cambridgeshire Community Safety Partnership:</b> the CSP has been able to accelerate progress around its community engagement toolkit and is continuing to look at how it works in a holistic place-based way.</p> <p>The biggest concerns remained around DHRs and South Cambs CSP support the proposals being put forward by RH.</p> <p>JP highlighted the parallel increase in demand seen by the Safeguarding Board to unprecedented levels. Some of the discussions will be picked up at the Executive Safeguarding Board meeting in November.</p> <p><b>Cambridge City Community Safety Partnership:</b> Meetings have continued and the CSP has been working to ensure they remain accountable to the public.</p> <p>Work has continued around knife crime and county lines, but has been disrupted by Covid-19. These points will be raised directly with the Acting PCC.</p> <p>RB welcomed the ongoing efforts of CSPs and the way in which they are taking positive learning from the pandemic response to improve their relationship with communities.</p>
<p><b>4</b></p> <p>4.1</p>	<p><b>Understanding the current partnership landscape and changes on the horizon</b></p> <p>JH referenced key points from the report and:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• recognised much change is already taking place which is providing opportunities for better coordination of work to reduce demand;</li> <li>• there are clear links with the Local Resilience Forum response and recovery work – partners are keen to end up with strengthened partnership working arrangements, although they are realistic that transformational system-wide change isn't the main priority; and</li> </ul>

<p>4.2</p> <p>4.3</p> <p>4.4</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• highlighted that the themes, structures and working practices are ours to shape, and we can make them work better for us and for the public. This was welcomed by VE.</li> </ul> <p>RB highlighted the Countywide Community Safety Agreement along with the terms of reference for the Board were put in place in 2017 following significant consultation and have been reviewed annually. They were intended to be reviewed in line with a new Police and Crime Plan in 2020. This has been delayed by Covid.</p> <p>Partners agreed that the key risks identified in the agreement remained relevant and the partnership structure operational. The OPCC proposed to extend the current agreement for another year, for renewal in parallel with a new Police and Crime Plan. This was agreed.</p> <p><b>ACTION: Alasdair Baker to amend the current Countywide Community Safety Agreement to reflect its extended use, and circulate to partners.</b></p>
<p>5</p> <p>5.1</p> <p>5.2</p>	<p><b>Crime and Disorder Grants 2021/22 – the current situation</b></p> <p>JH highlighted key points of the paper to partners, and underlined that a decision had not been made, and was dependent on the financial settlement from government expected later in 2020.</p> <p>The approach would also be guided by the conversation about recovering to a better place, and the OPCC will remain engaged with key partners.</p>
<p><b>Next meeting – Date TBC early 2021</b></p>	