# CAMBRIDGESHIRE OPCC and CONSTABULARY STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER, October 2020

## Strategic Risks Summary - New Risk

SR1.1	There is a potential risk of failure to achieve benefits of the link between police and communities and Chief Constable fails to explain actions of Constabulary.
SR2.1	There is a risk that the Commissioner fails to set clear direction in Police and Crime and objectives and manifesto commitments are not delivered.
SR2.2	There is a risk that the Chief Constable fails to meet the operational expectation of Home Office with respect to Strategic Policing Requirement.
SR2.3	There is a risk that the Commissioner and Chief Constable are unable to influence national, regional or strategic alliance policies.
SR3.1	There is a risk that the Commissioner and Chief Constable fail to manage finances effectively.
SR3.2	There is a risk that the Commissioner and Chief Constable fail to enter into or achieve benefits of collaboration.
SR3.3	There is a risk that the Commissioner and Chief Constable fail to work effectively in partnership with community safety and CJ partners and objectives of Police and Crime Plan are not delivered.
SR3.4	There is a risk that the Commissioner fails to ensure effective arrangements for appointment, support and challenge for DPCC, CE and CFO, and fails to provide necessary resources to CE to carry out duties.
SR3.5	There is a risk that the Commissioner fails to ensure effective arrangements for the Chief Constable to be appointed, supported and challenged while in post and to remove them from office if necessary.
SR4.1	There is a risk that the Commissioner and Chief Constable fail to apply and demonstrate good governance and fail to deliver statutory duties.
SR4.2	There is a risk that the Chief Constable fails to deploy staff to deliver policing objectives in Police & Crime Plan. The Commissioner fails to establish mechanisms to hold the Chief Constable to account.
SR4.3	There is a risk that the Commissioner fails to meet requirements of Police and Crime Plan and performance as scrutinised by Police and Crime Panel.
SR4.4	There is a risk that the Chief Constable fails to safeguard the welfare of all officers, staff and members of the public.
SR4.5	There is a risk that the Commissioner fails to establish mechanisms to hold the Chief Constable to account for exercise of their duty in safeguarding the welfare of officers, staff and Members of the Public.

## Strategic Risk Current ratings

	1	2	3	4	5
	Unlikely	Possible	Likely	More likely than not	Probable
5					
Catastrophic					
4		SR3.4	SR1.1 SR3.1		
Significant			SR3.2 SR4.4		
3		SR3.3 SR4.2			
Moderate		SR4.3 SR4.5			
2	SR3.5	SR2.1 SR2.2			
Minor	5 / J.	SR2.3 SR4.1			
1					
Insignificant					

<b>A</b>	Likelihood rating increased
▼	Likelihood rating decreased
$\triangle$	Likelihood rating expected to increase
$\nabla$	Likelihood rating expected to decrease
<b>•</b>	Impact rating increased
◀	Impact rating decreased
$\triangleright$	Impact rating expected to increase
$\triangleleft$	Impact rating expected to decrease

Page | 2 Strategic Risk Register October 2020

Refe	rence	SR1.1	Objecti	ive	Pu	ıblic Engagement				S	tatus:	Upd	ate 1	6/10	//2020			
Ther	re is a r					e the benefits of the lo	cal lii	ık be	tweer	the polic	e and co	mmu	nitie	s. Th	e Chief Con	stab	le fai	ils
Caus						Effects						ln I	herer I	nt R	Exec Lead	Ser	nior L	ead
	<ul> <li>Capability and capacity to identify, co-ordinate as implement appropriate mechanisms.</li> <li>A lack of openness and transparency.</li> <li>Collaboration could expose Cambridgeshire to reputational risk if one of the partners is portrayed negatively in the media.</li> <li>Changes are put in place by partners to balance their budget and impact on communities is unknown and unintended.</li> <li>Lack of effective neighbourhood policing strategy.</li> <li>Public concern at the use (or lack of use) of Covid19 legislation.</li> <li>Public concern regarding delivery of policing/keeping communities safe.</li> <li>Public concern regarding the integrity of the Constabulary in respect of its use of police power and its approach both internally and externally to equality and diversity.</li> <li>Social distancing guidance limits OPCC and Constabulary direct engagement with the public.</li> </ul>					<ul> <li>The desires and ambititerms of policing and curred into action.</li> <li>The public are not able Commissioner and the</li> <li>The ability of the Commissioner and the</li> <li>The ability of the Commissioner and policing delivered in Called Cambridgeshire mat policing.</li> <li>Public take enforcement</li> <li>Complaint allegations republic feel disengaged</li> </ul>	to ass Chief chission trust in ambrida ininten erialise at action	ess the Constant of the Consta	en, are le perfeable. le accorrime i le is un le is un le is un le their c	not identification not identification of the contraction of the contra	the voters is and	4	4	21	OPCC	Chia	ef ecutive	Э
Cont	rols in p	lace		Controls as	ssuran	nce	L	urren	t R	Future Ac	tions						Futur	e R
ii.	the Cons Team. Reportin	rk between the stabulary and C	ollaboration with	<del>ii. Co</del> <del>Str</del> ii. Pol	<del>mmiss rategic</del> lice an	Co-ordination Board sioner chairs BCH Alliance Summit d Crime Panel and	3	4	18	Co em (20	ork with pa ommunities nerging Nei 020/21) ork with PS	Appro ghbou	ach a irhood	and lir d Poli	nk this to cing Strategy	1	2	3
	and Con Scheme complian	nmissioner Pub s/Information O nce and other in constabulary and	ication rder formation	iii. Pro De Bo	ofessio partme ard.	onal Standards ent (PSD) Governance rality, Diversity and				<del>pre</del> co int	eferred ser	vice pr form r Q4 201	ovide espor 9-20.	r to p nsibili	repare for ties coming			

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  Comparing Police and Crime Commissioners Page | 3

Strategic Risk Register October 2020

Commissioner Websites.  iii. Constabulary management of police complaints, Commissioner's responsibility for monitoring complaints system, handling complaints against Chief Constable, and review body for complaint outcomes.  iv. Equality objectives in place, Code of Ethics, values within Constabulary's Corporate Plan.  v. Horizon scanning of partners budgetary plans. Partnership work as articulated in the Community Safety matrix.  vi. Monitoring use of new Covid19 legislation.  viii. Monitoring incidents of vigilantism.  Engagement strategies for Constabulary and OPCC.	Inclusion Board and Cambs Ethics, Equality & Inclusion Group Tactical Board. Internal Audit of Equality & Diversity.  v. Business Co-ordination Board vi. Business Co-ordination Board vii. Business Co-ordination Board viii. Business Co-ordination Board and Force Executive Board.	arrangements for additional officers from Government's 20,000 officers  Undertake work to prepare for the Acting Commissioner's Independent Scrutiny Group during Q3 2020/21 and links to be made for Cambs representative on PSD BAME External Scrutiny Panel.	
---	--	---	--

Reference	SR2.1 Object	tive	Setting Direction				Status:	Upd	ate 1	6/10	/2020				
other statu objectives	risk that the Commission itory functions, and priori which provide a clear foo are not delivered.	ties of commu	nity-safety and criminal	justic	e par	tners	, fails to ensure th	ne Po	lice	and	Crime Plan s	sets			
Causes			Effects						herer		Exec Lead	Seni	ad		
plannin Consta undersi effectiv Lack of Lack of Change budget PCC el cannot Respor prioritie of the C	preparation for the third term public awareness of the Plan es are put in place by partners	ement with the lick of and cost of PCC transition to balance their and Acting PCC is set strategic direction because to deliver swift	A clear direction is not seffectiveness and efficiency system and community improved.     Public confidence and the policing delivered in Calent Lack of effective financial Impact on ability to set a Crime Plan objectives.     Police and Crime Plan of the priorities of the resperiorities of the resperior CJS and disengage from	ency of safety rust in mbridg al plan and de objectivonsible ire lose	polici in Ca how c eshire ning liver a ves ma e autho	ng, the mbride rime is a une pproperty not orities, and c	e Criminal Justice geshire is not s being cut and dermined. riate Police and have due regard to	2	4	R 14	PCC	Head Strate Partn and Comi	egic ershi	•	
Controls in	place	Controls assur	rance	C	urrer	nt	Future Actions						Future		
				L	ı	R						L	ı	R	
and reso Police a Priorities Constab partners 2019-20 ii. Linkage strategie consulta strategy iii. New obj	ments for keeping the Plan ources needed to deliver the nd Crime Plan under review. It is for action by the oulary and the broader ship support required during a 2020/21.  With financial and other key ses via BCB Wide ation and joint engagement a Police and Crime Plan dective setting process for constable to lead, contribute	including the Cambridge Community strategic er safety A/PC Board enga Senior CJS enhanced partnership	nt with stakeholders the Chief Constable. Shire Countywide Strategic of Safety Board ensures the	2	2	5	Ongoing dialogue established gover understand the fu Domestic Abuse of Victim & offender refreshed Jan-Ap     Horizon scanning assess what strat could impact upon objectives (e.g. H Early Help and Volume Constabulary upon partnership suppond and Crime Plan.	nance ture by deman needs r autur work to egies on the F ealth a ulnerablating p	e mecudget ad) s assemn 20 to be have Police and Wole Acoriorit	essme 220. comp been and /ellbe dolese ies fo	ems to s. (E.g. ent data being bleted to delayed and Crime Plan eing Strategy; cent Strategy) or action and	2	2	5	

Page | 5 Strategic Risk Register October 2020

delivery of the objectives of the Police and Crime Plan.  iv. Chief Constable's operational direction and structures manging operational response to pandemic.  v. Review of the approach to grants during Covid19.  vi. Local partnership working structures and relationships being maintained through the command structure.  v. Review of the approach to grants during Covid19.  vi. Local partnership working structures and relationships being maintained through the command structure.  v. Review and sign off by the BCB of variations to the Police and Crime Plan.  v. Business Co-ordination Board vi. The command structure includes key strategic decision makers from responsible authorities.	regional and local decision making.		
---	-------------------------------------	--	--

Reference	SR2.2	Objecti	ive	Set	tting Direction					Status:	Upo	late 1	6/10	/2020			
There is a r Requirement		f Constal	ble fails to m	eet 1	the operational expec	tation	of th	ne Ho	me O	office with res	spect	to th	ne St	rategic Polici	ng		
Causes					Effects						In	here	nt R	Exec Lead	Ser	nior I	Lead
and poo national	understanding of start horizon scanning, by, regionally and the increase in firearms threat.	planning a rough Stra	and collaboration tegic alliance.		<ul> <li>Operational delivery onl</li> <li>National or international prioritised, compromisin forces to protect the put national security.</li> </ul>	policii g the o	ng iss collec	ues m tive at	ay not oilities	t be properly of police	2	4	14	Constabulary	Chi Cor	ef nstab	ole
Controls in p	lace		Controls ass	uran	се	C	urrei	nt R	Futu	ure Actions					l F	utui	re R
ii. Implement recomment inspection inspection iii. Broader partners protectivity. Assessment Force Medical V. Constable Risk Assessment is sassessment in the street in th	ds of the Strategic Iment are integrated assessment. Entation of endations from HMI ons. In collaboration with entation of to enhance resilient are services. In ent and preparation anagement Statemoulary's Strategic Thesessment incorporation and against Strategic Requirement.	into the ICFRS existing ace of In of the ent. ICFRS	arranger prioritisa policing ii. HMICFF iii. Regiona Capabili iv. Force E: HMICFF v. Planning STRA as SLTs arr manage will be m Organiss Departm	ment tition issue as a constitution of the con	e governance s ensure proper of regional and national es. spection regime. gagement with Specialist Delivery Board. tive Board and I business processes plan created. Local ponsible for t of their actions, which ored by CDD al Improvement Governance & Inspection //escalated to Change	2	2	5	•						L		K

Reference	SR2.3	Object	ive	S	etting Direction					Status:	Upd	late '	16/10	/2020			
The risk tha	at the Commissio	oner and	Chief Co	nstabl	e are unable to influenc	e nat	ional	l, regi	onal,	or Strategic	Alliar	nce p	olici	es.			
Causes					Effects							here		Exec Lead	Sen	ior L	ead
											L	ı	R				
	ent horizon scanning				<ul> <li>National, regional or str</li> </ul>	-		-			3	4	18	PCC		miss Chie	ioner
	e of national, region		•	ice	informed by the experie				•	ire and do not						stable	
	and policies due to p	oor prioriti	isation or		meet its requirements, o	-			-						0011	Stabi	0
	ate resources.				Cambs has to divert loc				tional	projects that							
	to influence the Poli				are of little value to Can	•											
	to influence Brexit d				Unknown or unintended	conse	equen	ces or	n polic	ing of							
	ave implications for (	•	eshire if cui	rent	Cambridgeshire.												
policing	tools are not availab	bie.															
Controls in p	Naca		Controls	accura	unco.		urrer	<b>1</b>	E. ifi	ıre Actions						Futui	· ·
Controls in p	ласе		Controls	assura	IIIC <del>C</del>		I	R	1 utt	ile Actions						I	R
i. The PCC	links effectively with	n the	i. <del>PC</del> (	<del>Chairs</del>	-7F oversight group, PCC	2	2	5							1		
	Chair of the Nationa				Strategic-Alliance.												
Commerc	ial Board and a men	mber of	Eas	tern Re	gion CJB Chairs meeting												
the Local	Government Associ	<del>iation</del> .	now	establi	shed.												
OPCC off	icers have effective	linkage	ii. App	now establishe Appropriate re	representation from												
with Natio	nal Groups such as	the	Con	stabula	ry and OPCC attend BCH												
Chief Exe	cutive is Deputy Cha	air of	and	7F gov	ernance meetings.												
Association	on of Police & Crime	Chief	iii. Poli	ce and	Crime Panel hold PCC to												
	s (APACE <del>); Membe</del>			ount.													
•	ransformation Board				Committee provides												
	engagement with th			•	nt assurance on the												
	n Force governance	)			of the risk management												
arrangem					and the associated control												
	res proper strategic				nt, independent scrutiny of												
	consideration of the				ssioner's and Chief												
	udgetary landscape		_		s financial and non-												
_	Medium Term Finan			-	rformance to the extent												
	line with the Police a				ts exposure to risk and										1		
	n and drives efficien	-			e control environment,										1		
	financial monitoring				see the financial reporting										1		
_	ents are effective.	to		ess.													
iv. IA/EA upo	lates provide alerts t	το	V.						l								

emerging issues and initiatives which are reviewed by CFOs.  v. Force and Commissioner link into national contingency planning relating to Brexit. Local contingency planning mechanisms in place.	xi. STRA action plan created. Local SLTs are responsible for management of their actions, which will be monitored by CDD Organisational Improvement Department Governance & Inspection	
Vi. Constabulary's Strategic Threat Risk Assessment incorporates assessment against Strategic Policing Requirement.	and reported/escalated to Change Board as necessary.  ii. Business Co-ordination Board and Police and Crime Panel.	
Vii. Force and Commissioner link into national and local pandemic response and recovery arrangements.		

Reference		SR3.1	Objective				Enabling Delivery			;		Update 16/10/202	20	
	k that the Commissio	ner and Chief Co		e the f	inanc	es ef	fectively.							
Causes			Effects					In	here	nt R	Exec Lead	Senior L	-ead	
planning, reaccounting setting preamond planning Failure to reaction in the setting preamond planning Failure to reaction in the setting and regions strategic, fit control mederical upon the setting process. Failure to reaction in the setting planning planning in the setting preamond in the se	nsustainability of partnersh due to increased pressure uncertain economic and fu	management, value for money, d issuing grants ons. coration. n (both tri-force ads to poor ry and contractual nip body poses risk e on services. Inding v Service Network. the Policing and ers' budgetary culations sition period for e & Resources of the Covid19	Statutory duties are not limpact on service qual Reputational damage implement their object term effectiveness and Justice System and conset out in their Police Ability to fund Governot Unknown and uninten Pressures on budgets Inability to fund previo	lity and and the ives for defficient and Criment in tional confiction for future and the formal and the future and the	performance Commer reduced from the commer reduced from the commer reduced from the commer reduced from the commerced from the	manc missio ing cri policin ety in ( an. s i.e. I uence ars.	ner is not able to me and the longing, the Criminal Cambridgeshire as Digital Programmes is placed on policing.	3	4	18	OPCC	Chief Fir	nance Offic	cers
Controls in pl	ace	Controls assura	nce	(	Currer		Future Actions						Future	_
regulations orders clea the two co ii. Regular jo	Governance, Financial and contract standing arly set out the duties of porations sole.  In working between the oner, Commissioner's	Constable's and this is ir Crime Plan IA/EA of all	rersight of Chief budgetary framework ncluded in the Police and allowing public scrutiny. financial systems ensure and finances are	3	4	R 18	Strategic use of in demand and     Introduction of r Constabulary ar	prever <del>egular</del>	ntion. <del>'awa</del> y			1 1	2	R 3

		1			,			
	CFO and Chief Constable's CFO.		effectively managed.					
iii.	Iterative financial planning process	ii.	Updates to Resource Group.					
	throughout year.	iii.	Regular updates/reports to Resource					
i۷.	Revenue outturn reports, budget		Group and Business Co-ordination					
	monitoring reports/dashboard cover		Board.					
	all aspects of Constabulary and	iv.	Reports to Business Co-ordination					
	OPCC budget, including Capital		Board.					
	monitoring.	٧.	Business Co-ordination Board and					
٧.	Capital programme monitoring.		JAC receive TM Strategy & updates.					
	Treasury Management Strategy	vi.	Included in MTFS which is approved					
	details Prudential Indicators, and		at BCB and goes to Police and Crime					
	Minimum Revenue Provision.		Panel. Compliant with Home Office					
vi.	Financial Reserves are reviewed		requirements to publish Reserves					
	and managed.		strategy.					
vii.	Monthly reviews of Revenue and	vii.	BCB					
	Capital estimates, Fees and	viii.	External Audit statements published					
	Charges reviewed in line with		and VFM conclusion and provided to					
	National guidance.		JAC. HMICFRS Efficiency Reports.					
/iii.	External Audit VFM	ix.	Emerging issue/risks raised through					
	statement/strategy.		SMT.					
ix.	Regular meetings of OPCC CFO	X.	Resource Group.					
	and Constabulary CFO and Chief	xi.	BCB ensures adequate service					
	Executive with opposite numbers		quality and performance and that					
	from other county public sector		finances are managed effectively.					
	bodies for horizon scanning and		Police and Crime Panel review Police					
	identification of emerging risks.		and Crime Plan including MTFP,					
x.	Continued horizon-scanning for		budget and precept and plans for					
	new and emerging cost pressures.		closer working between police and					
χi.	Integrated BCH strategic		fire.					
	performance, and financial planning	xii.	Force Management Statement is					
	process.		used to better forecast future					
xii.	Analysis of allocation of savings		demand, with alignment to					
	and costs in collaborated functions.		budgetary and planning processes					
		l		ı		4		

Reference	SR3.2 Object	tive	Resourcing and Enabling	Delive	ery		Status:	Upd	ate 1	6/10	/2020			
benefits o	risk that the Commission f collaboration agreemen		the interest of the effici					or a	nothe	er Po	lice Force.			
Causes			Effects					ln I	herer I	nt R	Exec Lead	Seni	ior Le	ead
other I Failur Crime enterir more of could effecti A shou Finance risk to Challe Failure Techn Chang to bala	ctive governance and working Police and Crime Commission e to deliver the requirements in Plan to keep under considerang into a collaboration agreementher relevant emergency service in the interests of the efficiency eness of that service and thoutfall in capacity or capability. It is all unsustainability of another other collaboration partners. In the interest of the bench ology. It is are put in place by collaboration and unintended.	ers and Forces. In the Police and tion whether ent with one or ices in England ency or se other services.  Police force pose and efits of Information enter the police force force pose and efits of Information enter the police force.	to a failure in a partner  Resilience of police ser  The effectiveness of bo Cambridgeshire and els  Strategic requirements disruption in delivery of  Resilience of blue light  External inspections rai  Currently unknown or u of Cambridgeshire mate policing.	organi vices of th spe sewhe are ur Athen service se cor ninten	sation. cannot cialist : re is co able to a. es ncerns ded co	be ma and lo omproi o be m	aintained. cal policing in mised. tet due to service uences on policing	3	4	18	OPCC Chief Executive	& Ch	imissi nief stable	
Controls in	place	Controls assu	irance	(	Curren		Future Actions						Futur	е
and Si Region ii. Easter proces addition level. iii. Region Financ iv. Single BCH v. Opera	nentation of arrangements 22s at BCH and Eastern The Region Governance as continuing to develop anal controls assurance at that that budgets and Regional as Scrutiny Group updates. The Scheme of delegation for tional Support, Organisational art and JPS Governance	ii. Eastern I iii. Medium i between evaluate programi iv. Business v. Collabora vi. Strategic provides attendan	Co-ordination Board ation – Internal Audits plan. Athena Management Board regular reports and has ce from key senior	3	4	R 18	<ul> <li>Continue to expl         'user pays' meth         collaborated ser</li> <li>Mitigate the risks         change program</li> <li>Through 7 Force         align the Police a         locally.</li> <li>Benefits realisati         current and prop         understand the o         for Cambridgesh         delivery to ensure</li> </ul>	odologyices. s assome. (2 programme. (2025 200 to contribuire and contribute	gy for (20/2 ciated 20/21) ramm 2035 value on collaboration and the	trans (1) d with ) e wor vision derta coratic to se cost	a complex IT  k ongoing to to work  ken for ons to rvice delivery of that	L	I	R

Page | 12 Strategic Risk Register October 2020

Boards formally reviewed on a monthly basis including finances.  vi. Direct PCCs involvement in lead force/OPCC governance arrangements now supported by Head of Finance	viii. Monthly reports to Force Change Board ix. BCH offering resilience during Covid19.	productivity. (20/21)  BCH review work is near completion and this affords the opportunity to change existing governance processes.	
vii. Fire, Police, Ambulance Interoperability Board			
viii. Transition for decoupling Custody and CJ from Beds & Herts			

Reference	SR3.3	Objecti	ve	Resourcing and Enabling I	Delive	ry		Status:	Upo	late '	16/10	/2020			
				onstable fail to work effe				ership with local le	ader	s in	com	munity safet	y and		
criminal jus Causes	tice, including d	evolutio	n to support de	elivery of the Police and Effects	Crim	e Plai	า.		In	here	nt	Exec Lead	Seni	or Le	ad
									L	I	R				
partners sector fi Lack of Lack of ambition policing National there are homicided accompanies for the person of th	g changes in the crip n and prisons, incre s to working practice hat trials are not tak of cases. r management — Off during Covid19, ear e support in the con e. 9 response consume ate focus on pander ments, while respon	gainst den on, ding of the ambridges in strategy ha is serious c un crime in bunger vict minal justin ase comp es as a res ing place, fender pat rly prison r nmunity, h es partner mic recove	desires and hire in terms of sidentified that rime with horeasing tims and ce landscape, exity. Full of Covid19 this will create a hways are not eleases without ousing not attention erry continues.	It is not possible to engate ways that services work. The Commissioner's abscrime and increasing the efficiency of policing, the community safety in Ca. Victims, witnesses and justice is not effective. In the from criminal justice protection themselves and others. Potentially dangerous or re-offend. Potential impact on police. Potential impact on soci safeguarding requireme. Move from response to opportunities to positive building on lessons and	togeti ility to e long- e Crim mbridg the pu /ictims icess a ffende ce den ial care ents. recove ly impa flexibi	her in development	future. op the effectiv ustice e is co ay per vitness crease not fac  and th ase do ure se during	r role in reducing veness and System and mpromised. ceive that criminal ses may withdraw the risk to be justice and could rough increased ses not maximise rvice delivery, pandemic.	4	4	21	OPCC Chief Executive	& Ch Cons	stable	
Controls in	•			ance		urren		Future Actions						uture	
i Refresh	ed ToR and subgrou	ID	i Countywic	e Community Safety Board	<b>L</b>	3	<b>R</b> 9						2 2	3	R 9
	e linked to stronger	<b>^</b> P	•	PCC. PCC observer	_		J	Qualitative monit	toring	of pr	ogres	s in delivering		Ĭ	Ü

Police and Crime Plan objectives

on local community safety work

• Explore the impact of blue light collaboration

Careful allocation of Crime and Disorder

member of the Combined Authority.

Justice Board chaired by PCC.

Police and Crime Panel review of

iii.

Countywide Cambridgeshire Criminal

Page | 14 Strategic Risk Register October 2020

governance processes PCC

Chairmanship. Countywide

Community Safety Board

subgroups.

ii.	CJB has, an offender group and
	delivery group. OPCC are linking
	with the Local Authorities
	workstreams of Housing, Skills and
	Transport. Partnership signatory to
	the Countywide includes
	Peterborough Community Safety
	Agreement

- iii. Clear processes in place to monitor progress of Police and Crime Plan.
- iv. The OPCC links effectively with ongoing changes in the Criminal Justice landscape with the APCC, Chief Executive is Deputy Chair of the Association of Police & Crime Chief Executives (APACE).
- v. Development of Countywide Strategic Assessments to ensure good shared understanding of risks in Cambs
- vi. Local Resilience Forum managing community risks during Covid19.
- vii. Recovery infrastructure in place.

Police and Crime Plan and Annual Report ensures feedback from partners on the work of the Commissioner.

- iv. Eastern Region Commissioners meeting provides a mechanism to influence ongoing changes in the Criminal Justice landscape.
- Safeguarding Boards attended by Constabulary and Chief Executive.
   Internal Audit Partnership Working – Community Safety.
- vi. Public Service Board.
- vii. PCC is a member of Local Outbreak Engagement Board.

Reduction Grants by PCCs to tackle demand and manage risk and prevention agenda. (20/21)

- Continued development of the Countywide Community Safety arrangements.
- 2019/20 2020/21 Police and Crime Plan objective setting identifies areas where to influence partner's delivery towards the achievement of the delivery of the objectives of the Police and Crime Plan is required.
- Input to Eastern Region Commissioners meeting on Criminal Justice landscape developments.
- Clear Commissioning and Grants Strategy enabling robust commissioning of local victim support services to provide support to victims and witnesses during the Covid19 to keep them engaged.
- Continued engagement with National Probation Service ahead of probation reform implementation in June 2021.

Ref	erence	SR3.4 Object	ctive	Resourcing and Enabling I	Delive	ry		Status:	Upd	late 1	6/10	/2020			
Cor	nmissio	ner's Chief Finance Off	icer to be appo	ure effective arrangement inted, supported and cha cutive with the resources	llenge	ed wh	nile in	post and to remo	ve th				nece	ssar	y.
Cau	ses			Effects					In	here		Exec Lead	Seni	or Le	ead
•	A shortfa Unplann	nning, relationships and ine all in capacity or capability. ed retirement, resignation o ational Change	·	The statutory duty to apmet.  The Commissioner's abresponsibilities and delipriorities is compromise Reputational impact.  A shortfall in capacity or good governance	ility to ver the d.	fulfil t	neir rol on, stra	es and ategy and identified	2	4	R 14	OPCC	Com	missi	oner
Con	trols in p	lace	Controls assi	irance	C	urrer		<b>Future Actions</b>						utur	е
i. ii. iii. iv. v.	PDR pro Regular Commis Deputy ( Executiv meetings Success New OP 13/04/20	Commissioner/Deputy sioner/Chief Constable and Chief Constable/Chief e (monitoring officer)	appointme Commissi Chief Fina i. Public scr Crime Pal ii. Police and aware of a	I Crime Panel scrutiny of the ent of the Deputy oner, Chief Executive and ince Officer/s151 Officer utiny by the Police and nel. I Crime Panel made formally any interim cover ents for CEO or CFO.	2	1 4	14	<ul> <li>Monitoring arrar legal action is retransition is pau</li> <li>Resourcing in Cenable delivery</li> </ul>	sed. PCC 1	<del>d.</del> whi	le fire consid	governance dered to	2 2	3	R 9

Refe	erence	SR3.5 Object	ctive	F	Resourcing and Enabling	Delive	ry			Status:	Upo	late 1	16/10	/2020			
		sk that the Commissions and to remove them t			re effective arrangemer essary.	its for	the (	Chief	Consta	ıble to be a	ppoin	ited,	supp	oorted and c	halle	nged	d
Cau	ses				Effects						In	here		Exec Lead	Seni	or L	ead
•	A shortfa Poor rela	nning, relationships and ine all in capacity or capability. ationship between Chief Co sioner leads to failure to wo	nstable	e and	The statutory duty to a met.  The Commissioner's a responsibilities and del priorities is compromis  Leadership of the Cons Reputational impact.  A shortfall in capacity of	bility to liver the ed. stabula	fulfil teir visi	heir ro on, str	les and ategy ar		2	4	R 14	OPCC	Com	miss	ioner
Con	trols in p	lace	Cor	ntrols assura	ance		urrer	nt	Future	Actions					 	utur	re
	-					L	ı	R							L	I	R
i. ii. iii. iv. v.	meetings Commis induction Capacity success processe Performa in place	sioner/Chief Constable n meetings r and experience to run ful appointment/recruitment	v.	the appoint Constable Public scru Crime Pan Business C	Co-ordination Board. cutive Board and Business	1	2	3	• 0	Objective setti	ng for	19/20	20/2	1 underway	1	2	3

Refere	ence SR4.1	Objec	ctive	Being Accountable				Status:	Upo	late	16/10	/2020			
					d dem	onst	rate (	good governance	, in acc	cord	lance	with best pr	actio	e,	
Cause	es	risk that the Commissioner and Chief Constable fail to a he Nolan principles and fail to deliver statutory duties.  Effects  e processes are not in place to promote good naking.  e processes are not in place to promote good naking.  Fovernance principles not established.  Controls assurance  i. Joint Audit Committee takes are overview of regulatory framework integrity issues and ensures go governance and sun-making policy.  It ment of a Monitoring Officer pacity to undertake their role. The risk management strategy of the crisk management strategy of the		Effects					lr	here	ent R	Exec Lead	Ser	ior L	_ead
d	lecision making			<ul> <li>Adverse comments from</li> <li>Inability to ensure the C decisions and actions.</li> <li>The ability of the Comm compromised.</li> </ul>	hief C	onstal	ole an	swers for their	2	4	_	OPCC	Chi Exe	ef cutiv	е
Contro	ols in place		Controls assu	rance	0	Curren	t R	Future Actions						Futui	re R
ii. S iii. S iii. A iii. A v v. E vi. A vvii. C	Commissioner a segulations and segulations and segulations and segulations and segulations are segulations as a segulation of the segulation of a segulation o	nd Police conduct standards rnance and policy. Monitoring Officer undertake their role nagement strategy proactively lan nce Statement. nancial and non-	overview of integrity is governance principles.  ii. Annual Interport to BC PCC's and processes, effectiveness IA progress governance adhered to	of regulatory framework and sues and ensures good e in line with the Nolan grity Controls Assurance CB and JAC regarding Constabulary's controls evidence of their ess, and complaints handling. The report reviews good expractices are being and implemented and	2	2	5	Continued support Crime Panel (ong during Covid-19 of during Covid-19 of during Covid-19 of during Covid-19 of during Conduct as recrime Panel.)  Crime Panel.	going) w crisis to gove f PCC beture of aken to Panel Covid18 ssioner	vith viernar vusing BCB first to be ). to sign	irtual r nce wit ess thr BGB m come	neetings th paper to rough revised recting with virtual			
viii. C E # A ix. B	Cambs Constab Ethics, Equality of Equalities and Ir nembers from C	ulary have an  Inclusion Group  Clusion Board with  Ambs Independent  K (CIAN).	iii. iv. Internal Audgave substa v. Joint Audit vi. Head of IA whether go	antial assurance 2019/20. Committee				Undertake wo Commissioner		_		•			

x. Rapid	I change to remote working	and appropriate decision-making				
where	e possible during Covid19.	processes are in use.				
	vi	ii. Business Co-ordination Board and				
		Joint Audit Committee				
	vii	ii. Business Co-ordination Board				
	ki	x. PSD Governance Board holds PSD				
		function to account.				
	×	x. Police and Crime Panel hold PCC to				
		account.				

Refe	rence	SR4.2 <b>O</b>	bjectiv	е	Вє	eing Accountable					Status:	Upd	ate 1	6/10/	/2020			
						by appropriately those										objed	tive	s in
Caus		nd Crime Plan. The	Comm	issioner fa	IIS t	o establish appropriate Effects	e med	nanı	sms	to hold	the Chief C		able herei	nt	Exec Lead	Sen	or L	.ead
•	processe Lack of e understa Failure to means C	nning, performance ma es and/or ineffective wo engagement between C nding of operational ind o implement Emergenc chief Constable is unab o adequately plan for ch	orking arr OPCC an depende by Service ole to dep	rangements. ad CC and nce. e Network bloy resource	s.	<ul> <li>Constabulary delivery, p scrutinised visibly on be the Police and Crime Pl</li> <li>The long-term effectives public confidence that the is undermined.</li> </ul>	half of an and ness o	the p d othe f polic	ublic a r Chie ing is	against f Consta compro	delivery of able duties. mised and	3 3	4	R 18	Chief Executive	Com & Ch Con	nief	sioner le
	rols in p	lace	(	Controls ass	urai	nce	C	urrer		Futur	e Actions						utu	
							L	I	R							L	ı	R
ii. iii.	maintaini within OF Increase of curren has infor to ensure model. Force Ge Organisa Departm performa analysis. Performa	ecutive charged with ing sufficient capacity PCC. d understanding of naturation and likely future demanded local policing review a sustainable policing properate Development autional Improvement ent undertake regular ance monitoring and Force Monthly Strategance Board chaired by Chief Constable.	and ew i	scrutinis ensure and Crir provisio i. HMICFF reviewe received ii. Force E Perform Constat v. Busines /. Audit re /i. Commis	se performe F n. RRS Irr d by d and executance ance s Co ports ssion	p-ordination Board to erformance reports to ormance against Police Plan and quality of service respection reports Commissioner when diresponse published. The Board and Force er Board chaired by Chief p-ordination Board is reviewed by JAC. The Police and Crime Panel.	2	3	9	• <del>Und</del>	ns to implement erstand and C eare for addition ernment's 20,0	ontinu nal of	ie <mark>arra</mark> ficers	angen from	•	1	3	6
v.	reporting Business Police ar Framewo	monitoring by OPCC and from Force inform force inform to co-ordination Board. In the Crime Plan Performs ork.  Tasking and Co-ordination of the co-ordination in the co-ordinatio	ance	for refer viii. Force M used to demand	ral o lana bette l, wit	gagement with the IOPC of complaints. gement Statement is er forecast future h alignment to budgetary g processes.												
	_	reviews Threat, Risk ar		•		n plan created. Local												

|--|

Reference	SR4.3 Ob	jective	Being Accountable				Status:	Upo	late 1	6/10	/2020			
			t the requirements of the 's strategic actions and o			d Crir	ne Panel as it asse	sses	the	perfo	ormance of t	he		
Causes			Effects					In	herei		Exec Lead	Sen	ior L	.ead
• Lack of	f understanding of respect	ive roles and	The Panel is not able to	o fulfil	its dut	ies in ı	relation to the	<b>L</b> 2	4	R 14	OPCC		nd of	
respor	sibilities or insufficient plai	nning and resources.	precept, annual report,	Police	e and (	Crime	Plan, and						nplia	
<ul> <li>Police</li> </ul>	and Crime Panel fails to a	rticulate their needs.	appointments.										<del>cy fo</del> <del>ce ar</del>	
<ul> <li>Lack of</li> </ul>	f organisational support fo	r Police and Crime	The Commissioner's p				• • • •					Fire		<del>IU</del>
Plan tl	rough Secretariat.		scrutinised, underminir	• .										
-	es in Chairmanship leads	to changes in	<ul> <li>Ad-hoc demands from</li> </ul>			•	•							
	aches and expectations.		OPCC and Constabula	•	•									
	ting approaches do not ada	apt to virtual meeting		ndue c	leman	ds on (	Constabulary during							
during	pandemic.		pandemic.											
Controls in	place	Controls assu	ırance		Currer		Future Actions			<u> </u>		F	utur	-
			10: 5 1 ::	L	I	R						L	I	R
	issioner's and Panel		nd Crime Panel meets in	2	3	9	Continue to develo	•		•		1	3	6
	on processes. ive management of future	· · · · · ·	d virtually during Covid-19 ch ensures that progress in				processes and doc			. •	•			
	agenda planning informed	*	s visible to the media and				<ul><li>Ongoing programm</li><li>Continue to work w</li></ul>				•			
	Panel's work programme		ommunity.				and Monitoring Offi							
•	sets out scrutiny plan for the		rols established & working.				of respective roles				•			
year.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		oort scrutinised.				ensure effective on		-					
iii. Engag	ement between OPCC and		reports on Police and				provide appropriate	-						
Police	and Crime Panel Secretar	iat. Crime Pl	an themes scrutinised.				<ul> <li>Regular updates to</li> </ul>							
iv. Panel	terms of reference and rule	es ii-iv Commission	oner's internal governance				Fire governance wh							
·	cedure set out ways of	_	nents are in place.				remit.			•				
	g. Policing Protocol define	S					<ul> <li>Police and Crime P</li> </ul>	anel	contin	ue to	be become			
relatio	nship.						virtual meetings du	ring C	ovid1	9.				

Reference SI	R4.4 Object	tive	Being Accountable				Status:	Upo	late 1	6/10	/2020			
staff within the	ir direction and cont	rol, and ensure	guard the welfare (inclu that members of the pul ncluding safeguarding	blic, d	offend	ders a	and employees of o	other	serv	ice c	ontractors a	are n		nd
Causes			Effects					In	here	nt	Exec Lead	Ser	nior	Lea
								L		R				
	eness, training investme	ent, poor planning	The relevant legal duties					3	4	18	Chief	Chie		. 1 -
or ineffective	•		Death of an individual of		•	talities	i.				Executive	Cor	nstab	oie
-	raining of responsible st		Public confidence is un											
• •	vision for Cambridge is r	required to meet	<ul> <li>Significant investment i</li> </ul>	n Park	kside h	as en	sured it meets							
	dy requirements.		current standards for cu	ustody	provis	sion.								
Capacity wit	nin BCH HR function is a	under pressure.	• Covid-19 sickness, self	-isolat	ion or	shield	ing impacts on							
Covid19 risk	s including PPE and tes	ting.	Constabulary capacity.											
More limited	partnership arrangemen	nts to protect the												
vulnerable d	uring Covid19.													
Controls in place	•	Controls assura	ance	. (	Currer		Future Actions						Futu	
i. Reports on I	Health & Safety,	i The Stratogi	BCH Equality, Diversity	3	I   4	R 18	BCH Wellbeing Bo	ard	dolivo	n, pla	an linked to	<u>L</u>	4	-
•	diversity, safeguarding		n Board and Cambs Ethics,	3	+	10	Oscar Kilo (best p					'	4	
• •	promotion of child		clusion Group ensures the				best practice feeds							
	detention and handling		vant action is identified				delivery and devel							
	ed by Equality,		ans are progressed. BCH				delivery plan				_			
	Inclusion Board on a	-	: & Wellbeing Board				Increasing number			_	•			
risk-based fr		created.					<ul> <li>Continuous improvidavs</li> </ul>	/emen	t to sk	uis th	rough CPD			
	uman Rights Issues –		t ensures independent				Custody de-collaboration	oration	n inve	stinati	ive centre			
Custody, Ta	•	validation of					will build on core c							
Surveillance		iii. Internal Audi	t of Equality and Diversity.				handling of detaine							
i. Equality duty	/ – review/monitored.		Crime Commissioner holds				<ul> <li>Re-audit of Health</li> </ul>							
	urveys and reports.	the Chief Co	nstable to account in these				assurance that Go				•			
	ety Constabulary	key areas wi	th reports to BCB in the				<ul> <li>H&amp;S Audits include Plan.</li> </ul>	ed in 2	2020/2	21 Inte	ernal Audit			
improvemen		public domai	-				<ul><li>Internal Audit on E</li></ul>	thice F	- ileun=	ty and	d Diversity			
	states Sub Group	vi. Southern Ca	mbridgeshire Police				and Organisationa	l Lear	-quaii nina d	urina	Ω4 2020/21			
Resources (	Group on Estate issues.	Station Boar	d (CSPS) to manage the				Covid secure plant		g u	~g	2.2020/21			
ii. Health & Sa	ety statement signed	project to de	liver the Constabulary's					3						
by Chief Cor	stable and PCC. Joint	operational r	equirements for custody to											

Page | 23 Strategic Risk Register October 2020

	H&S Policy in operation.	replace current facilities at Parkside			
	Countywide Community Safety	with a new facility known as the			
	Agreement.	Southern Police Station to meet Home			
viii.	Cambs Constabulary H&S meeting	Office requirements.			
	chaired by ACC.	vii.			
ix.	Cambs Force Wellbeing Board	iii. BCH H&S Board chaired by a DCC.			
	chaired by a Chief Superintendent.	ix. BCH Wellbeing Board chaired by a			
X.	BCH People Plan addresses	DCC.			
	wellbeing as a specific point.	x. BCH People Board.			
	3 1 1	'			

Ref	Reference SR4.5 <b>Objective</b> Being Accountable		eing Accountable				Status:	Upd	ate 1	6/10/	/2020					
dut and pra	ties to sa d ensure acticable	sk that the Commission feguard the welfare (inc that members of the pu (including safeguarding uties in this area (includ	ludi: blic, chi	ng health & s offenders a Idren, the pr	safety as well as equal nd employees of other omotion of child welfa	ity & c service re and	divers ce co I safe	sity) c ontrac	of all officers and s ctors are not expos	taff ved to	vithir risk	n the s as	ir direction a far as reaso	nd c	ontr y	rol,
	uses	,		·	Effects					In	herer		Exec Lead	Sen	ior L	ead
<ul> <li>Lack of awareness, investment, poor planning or ineffective processes and performance monitoring.</li> <li>Failure to provide oversight over the Constabulary's responsibility to ensure access to healthcare for detainees.</li> <li>Reduced ICV attendance during Covid19.</li> </ul>			<ul> <li>The relevant legal duties are not met.</li> <li>Death of an individual or multiple fatalities.</li> <li>Public confidence is undermined.</li> </ul>					3	4	R 18	OPCC	Com	nmiss	sioner		
Coı	Controls in place		in place Controls assurance		ance	Current			Future Actions					Futu	re	
						L	I	R						L	I	R
ii. iii. v. v.	framewor performal Ensuring diversity, promotion detention considere processes Monitorin Follow up place in regarding Monitorin H&S state Chief Cor H&S polic Sufficient of training	d Crime Plan performance k documented approach to nee monitoring. reports on H&S, equality & safeguarding children, the nof child welfare and and handling are at through commissioning s. g of the ICV Scheme to ensure actions are in esponse to ICV concerns individual detainees. g of dog welfare. The ement of intent signed by the ensure actions in the exponse to ICV concerns individual detainees. In the exponse to ICV concerns individual detainees. The ement of intent signed by the example of the exponse to ICV concerns individual detainees. The ement of intent signed by the example of the exponse to allow activity of and supervision.	i. ii. iiv. v. vi.	framework. A Panel to scru Ethics, Equa independent Effective use Quarterly up ICV panel m of children in Internal Audi Reported to Force and A Strategic BC Inclusion Bob board. Ethics Group. Quarterly ret Panel.	of performance Ability of Police and Crime utinise areas of concern. Ality & Inclusion Group with t-input. The of internal audit. The dates to ICVA, quarterly the etings. OPCC oversight the custody. Actions from tit are reviewed at BCB. Herts OPCC as Lead Innual Report to Cambs. The Equality, Diversity and the ard and Cambs tactical to see the property of the custody. The Inclusion turns to ICVA and ICV Information sharing of	2	3	9	Internal Safeguard staff are effectively are following proceed 2020/21.  Appropriate attended Safeguarding Boader BCB during Covides essential holding the account.  Internal Audit on Editional Equality & Diversity undertaken.  Review ICV arrange phase. Arrangement custody in place.	r trained trai	ed in some for lateral focus in focus i	safegonterna mbrid s on sonstability and during or OP(	uarding and al Audit in  geshire  pecific ole to  d Diversity,  Q4 2020/21  CC staff to be	1	3	6

telephone calls to detainees during Covid.  Regular records of children in custody sent to Chief Executive of OPCC.	children in custody with Youth Offending Service. Peterborough Childrens Services Inspection in July 2018 rated as 'good and have improved significantly'.												
---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

This page is intentionally blank.

## Appendix A:

## Strategic Risk overall ratings

	1	2	3	4	5
	Unlikely	Possible	Likely	More likely than not	Probable
5 Catastrophic	15	19	22	24	25
4 Significant	10	14	18	21	23
3 Moderate	6	9	Tolerance Level 13	17	20
2 Minor	3	5	8	12	16
1 Insignificant	1	2	4	7	11

## Risk ratings key:

Dark red	Critical risks
Red	High risks
Amber	Medium-high risks
Yellow	Medium risks
Green	Low risks

Page | 28 Strategic Risk Register October 2020

Risk scores are calculated by determining the Likelihood and the highest Impact score from the PESTELO categories. An overall risk score is determined by using the matrix at Appendix A.

The **risk tolerance** is set at risk rating of 13 (yellow)

- · All risks above the risk tolerance (that is critical, high and medium-high) are reported to the relevant Executive Board.
- · Controls, assurances and actions aim to bring risks within the risk tolerance.
- · External issues may raise inherent risk likelihood or impact.
- Some risks may be accepted above the risk tolerance level where it is considered unrealistic or unaffordable to bring the risks within tolerance.
- Critical and High risks are key issues requiring immediate and on-going management attention to embed and maintain controls, assurances and actions that will reduce likelihood and/or impact.
- Medium-high risks are significant issues requiring attention to reduce likelihood and/or impact.
- Medium risks are less significant but need to be monitored to capture any increase in the inherent risk position.
- Low risks require no special action.

#### **PESTELO**

### Political - local/gov policy

- 1. Insignificant Little impact on stakeholder groups
- 2. Minor Minor impact on stakeholder groups
- 3. Moderate Loss of support from local stakeholders
- 4. Significant Capability of organisation questioned
- 5. Catastrophic Viability of organisation under threat

#### Social - Public Confidence

- 1. Insignificant Little impact on stakeholder groups
- 2. Minor Minor impact on stakeholder groups
- 3. Moderate Loss of support from local stakeholders
- 4. Significant Capability of organisation questioned

### Economic - Internal budget pressures

- 1. Insignificant Overspend of up to 2% of agreed/notional budget or shortfall of up to 3% of approved target saving
- 2. Minor Overspend of 2% to 5% of agreed/notional budget or shortfall of 3-5%
- 3. Moderate Overspend of 5-10% of agreed / notional budget or shortfall of 5-10%
- 4. Significant Overspend of 10-15% of agreed  $\,$  / notional budget or shortfall of 10-15%
- 5. Catastrophic Overspend of more than 15% or shortfall of more than 15%

### Technological - Consequences of failure, pay/scale of change

- 1. Insignificant Insignificant shortfalls in mandatory regs and/or other requirements
- 2. Minor Minor shortfalls in mandatory regs and/or other requirements
- 3. Moderate Moderate shortfalls in one or more key regs
- 4. Significant Significant shortfalls in mandatory reqs and/or other reqs having direct impact on service delivery

5. Catastrophic - Variability of organisation under threat

#### **Environmental - Consequences on environment**

- 1. Insignificant Little disruption
- 2. Minor Some disruption
- 3. Moderate Considerable disruption to environment
- 4. Significant Serious impact on environment, signalling mid-term damage
- 5. Catastrophic Critical impact on environment, signalling long-term damage

#### Organisation - Issues that may affect our organisation and staff

- 1. Insignificant Insignificant adjustment required
- 2. Minor Minor adjustments required
- 3. Moderate Moderate adjustments required
- 4. Significant Significant adjustments required
- 5. Catastrophic Extensive long term to permanent adjustments required

5. Catastrophic - Complete system failure which has a direct impact on service delivery

#### **Legislative - National or European Law**

- 1. Insignificant No effect Compliance with legislation
- 2. Minor Little affect Exposure to Local Sanctions e.g. Breach of Local Bye Laws
- 3. Moderate Considerable Effect Exposure to fines/penalties e.g. failure to meet contractual obligations
- 4. Significant Serious effect exposure to prosecution, resulting in serious damage to reputation
- 5. Catastrophic Critical exposure to prosecution, which prevents organisation from continuing to discharge its duties