

Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Countywide Community Safety Strategic Board (CCSSB)

Wednesday 10th April 2019, 14:00 – 16:00, Conference Room 2, Police HQ, Huntingdon, PE29 6NP

ACTIONS

	Action	Owner
1	Jason Ablewhite to establish a countywide meeting with elected members with community safety, safeguarding and health & wellbeing portfolios, soon after local elections.	Jason Ablewhite
2	Rob Hill to take on leadership of a formalised Community Safety Officers Group meeting.	Rob Hill
3	Supt. Jon Hutchinson to scope the establishment of a serious street based violence working group. Scoping should involve speaking with Debbie Kaye of Cambridge City CSP, and drafting a clear Terms of Reference defining the role of the group.	Supt. Jon Hutchinson, Debbie Kaye
4	Dr Liz Robin to schedule discussion at the Drug and Alcohol Misuse Delivery Group on considering alternative, evidence-based approaches to Drugs Policy. Supt. Jon Hutchinson to provide input in relation to the link between serious street based violence and drug misuse.	Dr Liz Robin, Supt. Jon Hutchinson
5	David Greening to work with Rob Hill to establish a link between Cambridge City Council Housing and the Domestic Abuse Perpetrator Panel operating in Cambridgeshire and Peterborough	David Greening

Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Countywide Community Safety Strategic Board

Wednesday 10th April 2019, 14:00 – 16:00, Police HQ – Conference Room 2

Minutes

Present		
Name		Representing
Jason Ablewhite	JA	Police and Crime Commissioner (Chair), Criminal Justice Board
Ray Bisby	RB	Deputy PCC (Vice Chair), Road Safety Partnership
Dorothy Gregson	DG	OPCC
Claire Higgins	CH	Safer Peterborough Partnership, Cross Keys Homes
Sarah Tabbitt	ST	Huntingdonshire CSP, Early Help
Kevin Napier	KN	Cambridgeshire Fire and Rescue Service, East Cambridgeshire CSP
Lynda Kilkelly	LK	Cambridge City Council, Cambridge City CSP
Alan Boughen	ABO	Fenland District Council, Fenland CSP
ACC Dan Vajzovic	DV	Cambridgeshire Constabulary, Joint Youth Justice Management Board
Adrian Chapman	AC	Cambridgeshire County & Peterborough City Councils
Dr Liz Robin	LR	Public Health, Cambs & P'boro Health and Wellbeing Boards
Russell Wate	RW	Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Safeguarding Boards
Jessica Bawden	JB	Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Clinical Commissioning Group
Keith Faulkner	KF	BeNCH CRC
Suzanne Hemingway	SH	Cambridge City Council, The Housing Board
Jo Brookes	JB	East Cambridgeshire District Council
Heather Wood	HW	South Cambridgeshire District Council
Julie Farrow	JF	Support Cambridgeshire, Hunts Forum
Supt. Jon Hutchinson	JH	Cambridgeshire Constabulary
DCI Mick Birchall	MB	Cambridgeshire Constabulary
Insp. Keren Pope	KP	Cambs Constabulary, PREVENT Delivery Group
Rob Hill	RH	Cambs County & P'boro City Councils, Safer Peterborough Partnership, DA & SV Delivery Group
Sarah Ferguson	SF	Cambridgeshire County & Peterborough City Councils
Anna Jack	AJ	Cambs County & P'boro City Councils, Joint Youth Justice Management Board, Child Criminal Exploitation Delivery Group
CLlr Nicky Massey	NM	Cambridge City Council
David Greening	DGr	Cambridge City Council (Housing)
Jamie Leeman	JL	Cambridge Research Group
Cristina Strood	CS	OPCC
Nicky Phillipson	NP	OPCC
Alasdair Baker	AB	OPCC (minutes)
Apologies		
Name		Representing
Matthew Ryder	MR	National Probation Service
Alison Hancock	AH	BeNCH CRC
CLlr David Oliver	DO	Fenland District Council,

Debbie Kaye	DK	Cambridge City Council, Cambridge City CSP
Mike Hill	MH	South Cambridgeshire District Council
D/Supt Laura Kosciwicz	LK	Cambridgeshire Constabulary
Sarah Jane-Smedmor	S J-S	Early Help
Dr Emma Tiffin	ET	Crisis Care Concordat Mental Health Delivery Group
Chris Evans	CE	Peterborough Prison
Leigh Roberts	LRO	Cambridgeshire Research Group
Chris Stopford	CS	Huntingdonshire District Council
Joanne Procter	JP	Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Safeguarding Boards
D/Supt Becky Tipping	BT	Cambs Constabulary, Modern Slavery & Organised Crime Delivery Group

1	Introduction & apologies
1.1	Introductions were made and apologies noted.
2	Minutes and actions of the meeting held 17th January 2019
2.1	All present agreed minutes of the meeting held 17 th January as a true and accurate record.
2.2	There were 7 actions from the previous meeting, all were marked completed.
3	Developing the Community Safety System
3.1	DG reminded partners of the journey taken developing and aligning their work, and the operation of the Countywide Community Safety Strategic Board.
3.2	Since the addition of prevention workstreams to the Countywide Community Safety Agreement, as partners offer support and co-ordinate resources, the system is transforming. The example of the Healthy Schools Support Service was given.
3.3	DG reported that she felt the system was more connected and effective, but still there was opportunity for progress. DG outlines the key recommendations from the paper. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Commissioner to bring together elected members with community safety, safeguarding and health and wellbeing portfolios to strengthen coherence between crucial statutory oversight and scrutiny mechanisms carried out by those elected members. 2. Bring together a formal Community Safety Officers Group, chaired by RH. This will offer a crucial link between the strategic and delivery aspects of the system, empowering and developing key community safety staff as system leaders.
3.4	DG highlighted the overlap with the Think Communities ethos, which will be central to CSPs and place-based delivery moving forward.

<p>3.5</p> <p>3.6</p> <p>3.7</p> <p>3.8</p> <p>3.9</p>	<p>LK asked with these groups meeting, what the expectation on CSPs would be. DG highlighted that CSPs will be central to place based delivery, making sure risks are managed locally and prevention industrialised.</p> <p>The report set out the importance of harnessing support from elected members. RW supported this, with Safeguarding looking to make this same links. LR shared a similar recommendation from a Health and Wellbeing Board peer review, so fully supported this. NM welcomed the recommendations.</p> <p>AC strongly supported the recommendations, seeing the benefit of improved elected member and officer engagement to help drive progress on transforming the system at pace. AC highlighted the risk if the system stays the same while demands continues to risk.</p> <p>ACTION: Jason Ablewhite to establish a countywide meeting for elected members with community safety, safeguarding and health & wellbeing portfolios, soon after local elections.</p> <p>ACTION: Rob Hill to take on leadership of a formalised Community Safety Officers Group meeting.</p>
<p>4a</p> <p>4.1</p> <p>4.2</p> <p>4.3</p> <p>4.4</p> <p>4.5</p> <p>4.6</p> <p>4.7</p>	<p>Response to the national Serious Violence Strategy – Serious street based violence</p> <p>JH highlighted the significant risks posed by serious street based violence, particularly to young people carrying bladed articles.</p> <p>JH gave three recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Commit to a public health approach set out in the Serious Violence Strategy. 2. Establish a multi-agency serious street based violence working group to overview the local response to the strategy. 3. Consider alternative, evidence-based approaches to drugs policy. <p>JH outlined four key strands of the strategy: county lines & drugs markets, early intervention & prevention, supporting communities & local partnerships, and effective law enforcement & criminal justice resolution.</p> <p>JH stated outcomes will not come overnight, and clearly work is already underway in some areas, with opportunity for further coordinated action in others.</p> <p>JA reflected on the importance of dealing with those buying drugs, not just those selling it. JA was supportive of a joined up approach, industrialising work already taking place.</p> <p>LK highlighted Cambridge City’s plans for work on this area, and saw opportunities to link in with JH’s work, supporting learning in the city, and at a countywide level.</p> <p>There was discussion about the establishment of a working group to oversee the local response to the strategy. DV and RW saw the landscape was already complex with many</p>

	delivery groups already picking up aspects of the strategy. Both were clear on the need for clarity of purpose and strong governance of any new group, if it one needed to be set up.
4.8	DG agreed the risk was duplication. However, she stated that a year on from the release of the strategy, partners are making strides in some areas, but there are still gaps and it would benefit from coordination.
4.9	DG considered a co-chaired meeting could work, and asked is this is something Cambridge City could explore linking with, given their CSP transformation proposal on knife crime.
4.10	JH offered reassurance any group would not seek to duplicate, but would take a big picture approach, recognise existing work, and identify gaps.
4.11	ACTION: Supt. Jon Hutchinson to scope the establishment of a serious street based violence working group. Scoping should involve speaking with Debbie Kaye of Cambridge City CSP, and drafting a clear Terms of Reference defining the role of the group.
4.12	AJ stated that adults are a key driver in the violence and exploiting young people, so safeguarding needs to be a key consideration of any work.
4.13	LR explained the public health approach is rooted in prevention and evidence based work. A lot of work around this involves identifying young people and looking at their more basic needs including Education, Training & Employment (ETE) and housing.
4.14	LR supported engaging end users of drugs, and how partners can better use comms to do this.
4.15	DV felt the third recommendation would be best discussed at the Drug and Alcohol Misuse Delivery Group, and recommended it be passed to this group for consideration.
4.16	ACTION: Dr Liz Robin to schedule discussion at the Drug and Alcohol Misuse Delivery Group on considering alternative, evidence-based approaches to Drugs Policy. Supt. Jon Hutchinson to provide input in relation to the link between serious street based violence and drug misuse.
4b	Response to the National Serious Violence Strategy - Child Exploitation & Countylines
4.17	AJ reiterated this is a live issue, and set out work already in progress surrounding young people.
4.18	AJ highlighted the consistent approach, and range of interventions available to young people at all levels of risk, and the work to engage with the Healthy Schools Programme.
4.19	AJ understands there is still gaps, therefore there is a co-ordinated group of partners pro-actively looking at future funding opportunities, through initiatives like the Youth Endowment Fund, expected to open this summer.

4.20	SF recognised the work AJ was linking to regionally, and asked if there was learning we can bring in. AJ spoke about the Missing Exploited Trafficked Hub (MET Hub) approach in Norfolk.
4.21	AJ highlighted a challenge around young people who are accommodated, particularly from out of area.
5	<p data-bbox="240 510 1078 544">Domestic Abuse / Sexual Violence Delivery Group - Deep Dive</p> <p data-bbox="153 589 1406 663">5.1 SF underlined the broad nature of domestic abuse and sexual violence, and the impact it had on every partner agency.</p> <p data-bbox="153 707 1433 815">5.2 SF stated this work was about supporting people to progress with their lives, remembering that the problem will never fully disappear, but the only way to make an impact is by working together.</p> <p data-bbox="153 860 1406 967">5.3 The report outlines three key priorities and work around these. Priorities were identified through a Violence Against Women and Girls (VAWG) needs assessment, which took 18 months to complete due to the scale and complexity of the issue.</p> <p data-bbox="153 1012 1382 1200">5.4 The three overarching priorities, included in the local VAWG strategy are: <ol data-bbox="288 1057 1382 1200" style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ensure sure that people who have experienced VAWG can get help in their community from an Outreach Service, in a way that suits them. 2. Set up a multi-agency Domestic Abuse Unit offering a single place to deliver support. 3. Ensure everyone can get the support they need. </p> <p data-bbox="153 1245 1398 1321">5.5 Work is ongoing to deliver these, or review their feasibility. The strategy is accompanied by an action plan divided into 4 sections: Prevent, Protect, Pursue, and Prepare.</p> <p data-bbox="153 1366 1225 1400">5.6 SF guided partners through the paper, picking out key sections to partners.</p> <p data-bbox="153 1444 1425 1594">5.7 SF concluded there has been a lot of positive work, however the additional £2m funding brought in locally through bids will end in 2020. Partners need to understand how this will impact their services, and how they transform together to deliver sustainable work on domestic abuse and sexual violence within core budgets.</p> <p data-bbox="153 1639 1377 1747">5.8 JA made clear the next step here is showing the outcomes from this work, use them to show the risk of not funding the work. There needs to be a clear ask to the centre, and partners need to respond together, not as individual organisations.</p> <p data-bbox="153 1792 1406 1868">5.9 CH congratulated Sarah and her team on the significant progress they have made on this workstream.</p> <p data-bbox="153 1912 1430 2020">5.10 NM noted of the current seven Domestic Homicide Reviews (DHRs), four were suicides. NM asked is the mental health element being linked in. SF stated the importance of developing the workforce to understand the risk and look for the signs. LR stated it is clear</p>

	that mental health pathways for those experiencing domestic abuse needed to be understood and used.
5.11	RW added that inclusions of suicides in DHRs was recent, appearing in government guidance two years ago, and work is ongoing to update the suicide strategy to improve content around domestic abuse.
5.12	DGr added that housing often struggle with issues related to perpetrators, and would be keen to link to the perpetrator panel referenced in the paper.
5.13	ACTION: David Greening to work with Rob Hill to establish a link between Cambridge City Council Housing and the Domestic Abuse Perpetrator Panel operating in Cambridgeshire and Peterborough
5.14	SF shared that RH will be the lead for domestic abuse and sexual violence moving forward, and will co-chair the delivery group with Det. Supt. Laura Koscikiewicz.
6a	Housing Pathways - Update on the Housing Related Support Review
6.1	AC updated that after detailed analysis the savings target for the review had been reduced from £1m to £683k. The period for achieving these savings has been extended from 1 year, to 3 years.
6.2	This is a much more appropriate position, and recognises the ability to work with those impacted to find sustainable solutions to the changes.
6.3	The Board welcomed the additional time given for the review to be conducted, and the fact that this allowed implicates on the system to be fully considered. AC highlighted that decisions about changes will be made in a transparent, public process.
6b	Housing Pathways - Emerging Work on Housing First
6.1	DGr guided partners through the presentation, highlighting how “housing first” was an evidence based approach to getting rough sleepers, and those with vulnerable housing situations off the streets and into their own homes.
6.2	DGr highlighted key successes, and underlined while it was not the answer to every rough sleeping problem, there were significant gains to be made protecting vulnerable people for whom nothing else has worked.
6.3	DGr stated the importance of understanding the needs of the people in the service, and working in a flexible way to keep them engaged, while remaining mindful of the community.
6.4	JA was supportive and saw the opportunity for savings down the line.

6.5	HW saw this as an exciting tool, but reminded partners it was not the solution to all issues, and different areas will have a different problem and different drivers.
7	<p>Think communities.</p> <p>7.1 AC reminded partners this was embraced at the December development session, and is a way to transform the way the system works, in order to meet changing demand. If partners keep operating how they do now, demand will soon outstrip supply. Think Communities is a tool to reform ourselves, before reform is imposed.</p> <p>7.2 Think Communities focuses on improving outcomes, changing the conversation with the public and looking at how communities can support themselves, but still access statutory support where appropriate.</p> <p>7.3 Think Communities offers a unique opportunity for partners to fully collaborate with health, taking a holistic approach at a place-based level. There is the chance to organise into the same district level area footprints, and to truly understand what is happening at those levels.</p> <p>7.4 It is important for partners to understand Think Communities is a cultural change programme and is at the beginning, not the end.</p> <p>7.5 JF pointed out that this approach has come from the system, not the community. For it to be successful it is key that language changes when partners speak to communities. JF was pleased this was recognised in the paper.</p> <p>7.6 JF stated how important it was for communities to be able to access and feed into any data and intelligence that is captured in community profiles. Partners should recognise that communities are very good at putting resources and money into their own areas when they see there is a need.</p> <p>7.7 JF recommended working with the business community too. Work needs to be done with all the communities, not just the public sector. AC welcomed the feedback.</p> <p>7.8 JB stated the NHS are engaged with this work and fully supportive of this approach. It fits well with their ethos of delivery focused on people, not organisations.</p> <p>7.9 JB echoed JF's point about working with business and with the public sector, and framed this as working with employers.</p> <p>7.10 DG brought links to mental health back to the discussion, stating how there was a substantial opportunity for CSPs to strengthen their links to this agenda and links to primary care, through this work.</p> <p>7.11 DG encouraged all partners to lean in to support this approach. SH asked that as part of leaning in, partners bring data to the table, as it is critical for understanding communities.</p>

8	Road Safety – an update on direction
8.1	RB guided partners through the paper, highlighting progress made on ensuring short and long term strategic plans were in place. RB highlighted the continued outstanding work of individuals delivering the work of the partnership.
8.2	JA supported the importance of this work, and the impact it could have reducing the number of people killed and injured on our roads each year.
9	Quarterly Updates from Delivery Groups
9.1	Partners were given the opportunity to raise questions about the quarterly updates form delivery groups.
9.2	DV, on behalf of the Joint Youth Justice Management Board, highlighted a key area of business around the number of young people considered NEET, and reassured partners action was being taken to address this.
9.3	LR, on behalf of the Drug and Alcohol Misuse Delivery Group highlighted they were watching the impact of the recovery focused approach of CGL, the new drug and alcohol support service provider in Cambridgeshire.
9.4	RH, on behalf of the Organised Crime and Modern Slavery Delivery Group noted the group will be looking to review membership, and encourage the right people around the table.
10	Forward Agenda Plan
10.1	The future agenda plan was circulated as Appendix 1. Partners were asked to note the plan, and be mindful of requests for papers to future board meetings, in line with the plan.

Next meeting – Wednesday 10th July 2019 – 13:30 – 15:30, Police HQ Huntingdon