Collaborative Award for Teaching Excellence (CATE) 2024

Guidance for institutions and nominated teams
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1. **Deadline and assessment schedule**

The timetable for the submission and assessment process is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Milestone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monday 2 Oct 2023</td>
<td><strong>Call for nominations opens</strong>&lt;br&gt;Guidance for 2024 CATE institutions and teams will be available on the Advance HE website. Teaching Excellence Award Leads (TEALs) will be emailed their link to access the nomination documents; these will be hosted on Advance HE’s SharePoint site. TEALs will download the documents and share with their institution’s chosen nominated team.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wednesday 6 March 2024</td>
<td><strong>Nominations close</strong>&lt;br&gt;Nominee details and all electronic copies of nomination documents must be uploaded by the TEAL to Advance HE’s Survey Monkey Apply (SMA) online platform by 12:00 noon (GMT). Access to SMA will close to TEALs at this time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week commencing Monday 24 June 2024</td>
<td><strong>Nominated Team Leaders, TEALs and Vice-Chancellors notified of outcome</strong>&lt;br&gt;Nominated Team Leaders and TEALs will be informed of the outcome. Vice-Chancellors/ Principals/ Presidents (or equivalent) of nominating institutions will be informed if their nominated team is successful. Please note that this information is embargoed until the official announcement on Thursday 8 August 2024.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday 8 August 2024</td>
<td><strong>Official announcement released</strong>&lt;br&gt;Advance HE releases the official announcement of 2024 CATE winning teams.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autumn 2024</td>
<td><strong>Award Ceremony</strong>&lt;br&gt;The Award Ceremony will be held in Autumn 2024 (date and location to be confirmed).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This document provides detailed guidelines for the nomination process and for the preparation and submission of nomination documents for the 2024 CATE award round.
2. Introduction

The purpose of the Collaborative Award for Teaching Excellence (CATE) is to recognise and celebrate collaborative work that has had a demonstrable impact on teaching and learning. Introduced in 2016, CATE highlights the key role that teamwork plays in higher education. Each award will recognise a team that has enabled a change in practice for colleagues and/or students at an institutional, or discipline level.

The CATE scheme is organised and run by Advance HE. In order to participate in CATE, institutions must be Advance HE UK Full or Affiliate members; participation in the scheme is a benefit of membership, i.e., no additional fee is due for participation.

Institutions are eligible to enter one nominated CATE team via a ‘nomination’.

Up to 15 nominated teams will be selected to receive the CATE award in 2024. Nominated teams will be informed of the outcome in the week commencing Monday 24 June 2024. Those selected will be invited to attend a celebratory event in Autumn 2024 where their Collaborative Award for Teaching Excellence will be awarded. Further details of the CATE Scheme are available on Advance HE’s website.

Advance HE will work with teams to help showcase their work and further the impact of the teams and/or of the scheme. Advance HE will also enhance their national profile by inviting them to engage in a broad range of Advance HE activities. On gaining an award, each CATE team member has a role in becoming an ambassador for the scheme and in supporting the ongoing enhancement of learning and teaching. Successful Teams will also be eligible to become members of the Collaborative Award for Teaching Excellence Network (CATE-Net). Further details of the Scheme and of CATE-Net available on Advance HE’s website.

The UK Teaching Excellence Awards Advisory Panel (UKTEAP) plays a pivotal role in the process. The Panel advises on the criteria, the process of assessment and moderation, and the selection of the winners. The Panel is independent of Advance HE and includes senior Higher Education Provider (HEP) representatives from the UK nations and other relevant stakeholders.

2.1 New for 2024

Updates to Submission Documents

- From 2024, nomination documents will be shared with TEALs via Advance HEs Sharepoint, replacing myVLE.
- For 2024 onwards, TEALs will submit nominations via Advance HE’s Survey Monkey Apply (SMA) online platform, replacing use of Advance HE’s myVLE for nominations.
- The previous TEAL checklist Word document has been replaced with an integrated checklist within the online SMA submission process.
- From 2024 a separate online Nominated Team Profile Form is no longer required to be completed by nominees on JISC. Abbreviated nominee information is now collected on SMA and submitted by the TEAL. See Section 3.2 for details.
From 2024, Deputy Team Leaders (as well as Team Leaders) cannot be a TEAL in the same awards round. See Section 3.1 for details.

From 2024, photographs of the nominated team will no longer be required at the time of nomination. Photographs will only be requested from CATE winners by the Advance HE Marketing team at the time that the embargoed outcomes have been released (week commencing 24th June 2024). Photograph requirements are detailed in Section 9.1.

From 2024, social media handles and team profiles will not be required for nomination submissions, but instead will be requested from successful CATE Team and Deputy Team Leaders following the embargoed notification of outcome in w/c 24 June 2024 (see Section 9.1 for details).

Other Updates

It has been clarified that the ‘Core Team (see Section 5.1) cannot exceed 15, including Team Leader and Deputy Team Leader.

From 2024, TEALs will need to share the URL for the Equal Opportunities Monitoring Survey directly with all members of their nominated team. This link will be emailed to TEALs in Autumn 2024.

All TEALs will be copied into the outcome of the nominations email and will receive a copy of the reviewer feedback to nominated Team Leaders. See Section 9 for details.

Introduced in July/August 2023, each successful Team Leader and Deputy Team Leader will receive an interactive digital CATE badge on, or soon after, the official outcome announcement date (see Section 9).

A number of minor changes have been made to the wording throughout.

3. Guidelines for nomination

3.1 Eligibility

The CATE is open to all Advance HE member Higher Education Providers (HEPs) across the four nations of the UK, including Further Education Colleges and independent ‘alternative’ providers. Each UK member HEP is invited to nominate one team that can clearly demonstrate having an impact on teaching and learning through collaboration.

Advance HE expects institutions to ensure that their processes for selecting a team to nominate for CATE do not discriminate against individuals on the grounds of equality and diversity and are inclusive of the variety of ways in which staff and students contribute to learning and teaching, considering the full diversity of their staff and student body. Those within the team can hold any role that contributes to the enhancement of teaching and learning. Staff working at an overseas campus, whilst employed by the member UK HEP, are eligible to be part of a nominated team. Student members of the team are actively encouraged and can be studying or in a representative role. There may also be external members of the team, working within industry or a partner institution for example.
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Each team should demonstrate direct engagement with higher education students. Although there is no requirement for students to be members of the team, a team should clearly demonstrate how students are directly engaged in their work.

The Team Leader must be directly employed by the nominating UK HEP.

The Team Leader must not have an active nomination for the National Teaching Fellowship Scheme (NTFS) running at the same time as a nomination for CATE. The Team Leader and Deputy Team Leader cannot be a TEAL or Deputy TEAL in the same awards round. A TEAL or Deputy TEAL who wishes to be nominated as Team Leader or Deputy Team Leader should step down from their TEAL or Deputy TEAL role for the relevant awards cycle. Please contact CATE@advance-he.ac.uk to advise of the change and provide contact details for the replacement TEAL/Deputy TEAL. TEAL SMA access will be reassigned at this point to prevent any conflict of interest.

The Claim should be the work of the nominated team only and in making the nomination, institutions confirm that appropriate measures have been in place to ensure this. Advance HE retains the right to process documentation through anti-plagiarism software.

We encourage teams from College Based Higher Education and alternative Higher Education (HE) providers to nominate teams for the CATE awards, as these types of HE providers have been under-represented in previous award rounds.

In selecting the team to nominate, we encourage institutions to consider equality, diversity and inclusion principles, as analysis of equal opportunities monitoring data from past CATE award rounds identifies that ethnic minority groups, non-academic staff, staff declaring a disability, part-time and male staff have been under-represented in nominated CATE teams.

Institutions are encouraged to consider how the internal selection process can be used to create a positive profile for the nominated team and how they as an institution will continue to promote the work of the team if they are unsuccessful in the CATE award selection process, as it is highly competitive.

3.2 Nomination documents

Nominations comprise the documents and forms listed below. Nomination documents and nominated team details must be completed and submitted via SMA by the institution’s TEAL. All nominated team members should complete the online Equal Opportunities Monitoring survey themselves through Advance HE online surveys (TEALs will share the survey link).

Nomination documents and nominated team information comprise the following:

- **Nominated team Information**: From 2024 onwards, TEALs must collect the following information from nominated Team Leaders and Deputy Team Leaders and enter it onto the Advance HE SMA online platform:
  - Name and Title
  - Job Title, Department and Nominating Institution Name and Address
  - Contact Details
Thematic Areas
- Plus, all team member names and status (max of 13 members, in addition to Team Leader and Deputy Team Leader - see Section 5.2 for details)

- **Claim**: completed by the nominated team using the Claim pro-forma downloaded by the TEAL. Nominees use the Claim proforma to write a narrative against each of the two CATE award criteria (maximum 4500 words) plus a record of the Core Team Composition, an overarching Context Statement (up to 300 words) and a Reference List (see 5.3 for details) for citations used within the Claim (excluded from the word count); see Appendix 1. A bilingual Welsh language version is also available for the TEAL to download. The completed Claim proforma should be submitted by the TEAL as a PDF file.

- **Signed Statement of Support**: a statement (maximum 1,000 words) providing endorsement and institutional perspective to support the Claim. This statement should be written by a colleague in a senior position at the nominating institution (at the level of DVC/PVC or equivalent), who is familiar with the nominated team’s work. It should be submitted using the proforma downloaded by the TEAL (See Appendix 2). The statement should be signed-off by the institution’s Vice-Chancellor (or equivalent). A bilingual Welsh language version is also available for the TEAL to download. The completed Statement of Support should be submitted by the TEAL as a PDF file.

- **Equal Opportunities Monitoring Survey**: an online survey completed by each of the team members. This data will be used anonymously to report on equality and diversity. TEALs will be emailed the survey link during Autumn 2024 and will need to share the link with their nominees.

### 3.3 Formatting requirements

The following conventions should be applied to the Claim. This is to ensure fairness and consistency in the review process across all nominated teams.

- **Word limits**: where word limits are specified, all section headings, text within tables, graphs (all keys, headings, axes and labels), diagrams, in-text citations, endnotes and numerical characters **must be included in the word count**. Sections A and B of the nominated Team Claim have a strict word limit; reviewers will **not** take into account any words exceeding the word limit.

- **Font**: Arial 12 point.

- **Diagrams**: are permitted. Any text appearing within the diagram should be added to the final word count.

- **Headers and footers**: headers should be used for the team name and nominating institution and footers for page numbers.

- **Citations**: can be included in the body text or put as endnotes (rather than footnotes). These must be added to the final word count.

- **Hyperlinks**: do **not** include as hyperlinks add additional information outside the word limit. Advance HE will return Claims which include hyperlinked information.
3.4 Monitoring of equality and diversity data

All personal data provided through submitting a team nomination for the CATE scheme will be held confidentially by Advance HE and only relevant documentation will be shared with the reviewers and the UK Teaching Excellence Awards Advisory Panel as explained within this guidance document.

Advance HE is committed to promoting equality, diversity and inclusion and collects data relating to team members for statistical monitoring via the Equal Opportunities Monitoring Survey. The information provided on the Equal Opportunities Monitoring Survey does not form part of the Claim and is not made available to reviewers but is used anonymously by Advance HE for statistical monitoring.

The Equal Opportunities Monitoring Survey is an online survey and each member of the core team should complete the survey. The TEAL should confirm with their nominated team members that they have completed the survey.

The confidentiality of the data submitted is maintained by Advance HE. Advance HE collates the information provided by all members of the nominated team and shares this collated data anonymously to report annually on equality and diversity to the UK Teaching Excellence Awards Advisory Panel.

For information about how Advance HE collects, stores and uses personal information about CATE nominated teams, please review our privacy statement.

3.5 Submission and receipt of nominations

Nomination documents need to be submitted by a named central contact, or their designated deputy (the TEAL) at the institution through SMA online platform. TEALs will need to create an account on SMA to enable them to upload the nomination(s). Full instructions are provided in the TEAL Handbook and SMA User Guide 2024.

All nomination documents must be uploaded by 12:00 noon (GMT) on Wednesday 6 March 2024.
The TEAL is acting on behalf of their institution, and it will be assumed by Advance HE that they are acting in accordance with the expectations of their senior management when submitting their institution’s nomination.

Submissions should be in English or Welsh language; those submitting in Welsh must also include an English language version of all documents for review purposes.

Receipt of uploaded nominations will be acknowledged by an automated email sent to the TEAL from the SMA system. Please note the SMA online system will not allow incomplete nominations to be submitted.

Following submission, Advance HE will confirm that all required documents have been received and are accessible in an email sent to the TEAL from the mailbox cate@advance-he.ac.uk by 12:00 (GMT) on Wednesday 13 March 2024. If the TEAL has not received this confirmation we advise that they should contact Advance HE at cate@advance-he.ac.uk to confirm document receipt before a final deadline of 12:00 (GMT) on Friday 15 March 2024.

4. Award criteria

All teams will be assessed on the evidence provided in the nomination documents in relation to each of the two CATE award criteria:

**CATE Criterion 1: Excellence in the team’s collaborative approach**
Evidence of excellence in the team’s approach to working collaboratively, commensurate with their context and the opportunities afforded by it.

**CATE Criterion 2: Excellence in the impact of collaborative working**
Evidence of the team having a demonstrable impact on teaching and learning, including beyond their immediate academic or professional area.

Reviewers will be looking for evidence of **value, reach, and impact** to be demonstrated in the evidence within the narrative presented in Section B of the Claim. Please note that each of the two award criteria above is given equal consideration in the assessment process and weighted equally in the overall score.

5. Developing the Claim

The ‘Claim’ is made up of three sections (A-C):

**Section A:**
- **Part 1** - Core Team Composition (free word count).
- **Part 2** - Context Statement (maximum 300 words).

**Section B**: Claim against the two CATE Award Criteria (maximum 4500 words in total).

**Section C**: Reference List.

Only **Section B**, containing evidence against each of the two CATE award criteria, is scored by reviewers; the Context Statement and Reference List are not scored.
5.1 Core Team

In defining the Core Team to be nominated for CATE, it might be helpful to consider a 'core' team as the initiators of the work that may involve a larger 'extended team.' The extended team engage with the work of the core team and will be part of the evolution that work. There is often a flow between these two groups as the work develops, and the members of this extended group will influence the ongoing work of the core team. Core team members may be drawn across disciplines/ professional teams/ students/ external groups, etc., and all career stages, i.e., not related to seniority or status of members’ job roles. It is expected that core teams will differ widely, reflecting the diversity of work within UK higher education and the unique context of each team; there is also no expectation that there will be an extended group/ team engaged with the work of the core team. There are many different ways of evidencing each of the two award criteria, as appropriate to the nature of the nominated team’s work, and reviewers will apply these criteria in turn to the unique claim made by each nominated team.

In demonstrating the depth of collaboration between team members to provide strong evidence against CATE Award Criterion 1, teams are advised to focus the depth of collaboration on the interaction of the core team members, in order to fully articulate the key principles of collaboration as set out in the diagram by Spencer (please see p.16 below). The work of an extended group is likely to form part of the evidence across the Claim, to demonstrate Value, Reach and Impact.
To illustrate further what is meant by a core team and extended group/team (but not to set an expectation that a nominated team will conform to this model), the diagram below outlines a possible example of the interaction between the core team and an extended group, with identification of some possible features of each: Please contact us at CATE@advance-he.ac.uk for any queries or further guidance about identifying a team’s core team members.

5.2 Section A – Part 1: Core Team Composition

The Claim form provides nominated teams with a dedicated space to list the members of their core team. The Core Team Composition will not be scored by reviewers but will assist them in interpreting the team’s context.

In this section, CATE teams should provide a list of the names and job titles of their core team members along with the role taken within the team by each member. This information can help reviewers to make clearer judgements about the nature of collaboration when they are reviewing Criterion 1. See the example below. The maximum size allowed for the core team is 15 members, including the Team Leader and Deputy Team Leader.
Normally there will be one Team Leader and one Deputy Team Leader, but if there is a strong case for a team to have co-leaders, please contact the Advance HE Awards team at cate@advance-he.ac.uk for advice.

An example of a hypothetical core team is provided in the extract from a completed CATE Claim Form (Appendix 1) below (all names and titles are fictitious). This is not intended to be prescriptive in any way, but simply to illustrate the intended use of the Core Team Composition in Section A, Part 1:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Team Leader name:</th>
<th>Yelda Adem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deputy Head of Public Health Institute at Poppleton University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy Team Leader name</td>
<td>Professor Mukasa Apio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Educational Researcher based in the Public Health Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team name:</td>
<td>XXXXX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institution:</td>
<td>Poppleton University</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Role and/or Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rosalba De León</td>
<td>Student Partner (3rd Year Dentistry)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof Tomas Grey</td>
<td>Programme Leader Dentistry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Shane Hardman</td>
<td>External NHS Partner (Poppleton Hospital)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellis Shah</td>
<td>Chair Community Patient Group for Local Dentistry Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rory Simmons</td>
<td>Subject Librarian for Health (based in the Learning Centre)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siobhan Wood</td>
<td>Digital Learning Designer (Central Educational Services)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3 Section A – Part 2: Context Statement

Advance HE and the UK Teaching Excellence Awards Advisory Panel recognise that excellence in teaching and learning support will be situated within specific academic, professional and institutional contexts. The nature of the institutional context and each nominated team’s opportunity to contribute will be taken into account.

There will be considerable variation between nominated teams, reflecting differences in the constitution, context and work of the team. The Context Statement (300 words) is at the beginning of the team’s Claim, following the Core Team Composition, and will also not be scored by reviewers. This section should be used to articulate the context, setting, field and/or area of work within which the team is operating.

The Context Statement provides a frame of reference for Section B of the Claim and enables reviewers to orientate themselves into the evidence provided against each of the two CATE award criteria. Teams should use the Context Statement to explain the context of their team and institutional setting. If the narrative in Section B of the Claim draws on...
evidence from work across different institutions, the wider sector and/or industry or sector bodies, this should also be explained in the Context Statement. Teams should make clear the nature of their teaching and learning practice (e.g., types of learners, discipline(s), specialist area(s)), and should provide a brief outline of the scope and scale of the practice undertaken by the team.

The Context Statement should not be used to provide information that would add evidence of impact to the narrative set out in Section B of the Claim. The content and structure of the Context Statement is to be determined by the nominated team in order to best showcase the relevant parts of their context that effectively situate the evidence to come in the Claim. Teams should avoid submitting Context Statements that contain a lot of detail about the history and prestige of their institution.

Information needs to be concise as there is a 300-word limit. Some advice to nominated teams about what types of information to include in their Context Statement, and what not to include, is outlined below.

**What to include** - explaining the team context clearly helps reviewers to understand the team’s Claim (they may come from very different contexts), but it can also help them see how the team’s work stands out in a particular situation. The team might consider including information such as:

- Information about the institution, which enables reviewers to understand the type of HE environment the team is working in, and the learner body the team works with.
- If the team is drawing on evidence from more than one institution or context include information about all institutions/contexts relevant to the Claim.
- Information about the learners and/or colleagues the team is working with – who are the students whose outcomes are being transformed? Is the team working across a whole institution, or with particular groups?
- Information about the discipline, field, specialist and/or professional area of the team and team members as relevant to the evidence they will be providing under the 2 criteria.
- Information and/or basic background that frames the team’s activity – for example if the team is discussing a particular project or time period or focus of activity to showcase the team’s collaborative approach – is there any information that would set the scene for this?
- Information about any specific roles of team members, or of the whole team, which might be particular to their context, or type of institution – this is to help the reviewers understand the particular characteristics of the team’s context.
- Information that provides context to any wider activity the team may be involved in that they are discussing under either criterion, e.g., sector bodies, industry. Remember that activities and evidence of value, reach and impact within Section B must relate to HE teaching and/or learning support. The team may be able to clarify the context for that here.
Include a timeline to help reviewers see the progress and any ongoing impact from the team’s activities which are evidenced under one or both of the criteria.

Any other information about particular aspects of the team’s situation that might be a factor shaping the evidence provided, so for example if it is a relatively new team, or if the team has experienced significant turnover or contextual change, or if there are any specific limitations on outcomes or reach that the reviewers need to understand.

Please note the Context Statement should be written in the personal voice (we, our).

What not to include in the Context Statement (as better used in Section B):

- Examples of the team’s practice and information about specific teaching and learning initiatives that have been introduced by the team as part of the team’s collaborative activity – these are probably best included under Criterion 1 or Criterion 2. However, as the focus of Criterion 1 is the team’s collaborative approaches, you may need to include some practical explanations about the team activities in the context statement. It is important to remember that this will not be scored by the reviewers, so if you see it as ‘evidence’ for your team’s claim for excellence, it needs to be within Criteria 1 or 2.

- The team’s development as a team and how members work together (to be used in evidence for Criterion 1).

- Work that impacts upon professional bodies or wider HE communities (best included in Criterion 2).

- The team’s contribution to wider thematic/ sector priorities (link to Criterion 2).

- The dissemination of initiatives and impact across other areas/ campuses/ teams (could link to Criteria 1 or 2).
5.4 Section B: Claim against the two CATE award criteria

Nominated teams should use Section B of the Claim to set out the evidence of the **value, reach and impact** of their team’s practice against the two CATE award criteria in turn. Overall Section B must not exceed 4,500 words.

There are many different ways of evidencing each of the award criteria, as appropriate to the nature of the team’s work. Some illustrative examples are given below, but nominated teams should not feel limited by these examples as they are included only to provide indicative types of evidence against each criterion.

**Key points:**

+ teams should use Section B of the Claim to address and provide evidence against each award criterion in turn.
+ teams should demonstrate that they are applying the principles of equality, diversity and inclusion to their practice.
+ teams will need to draw upon explicit evidence of impact to support their claim against each criterion.
+ to illustrate, some examples of possible sources of evidence **might include some** of the following (but not limited to) as set out in the table below, depending on the nominated team’s context:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Criterion 1</strong> Excellence in the team’s collaborative approach</th>
<th><strong>Criterion 2</strong> Excellence in the impact of collaborative working</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Examples of effective team working practices, processes and/or outcomes</td>
<td>Student feedback and evaluations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence of shared goals/ purpose</td>
<td>Student data (progression, achievement, retention, engagement, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence of shared and appropriate leadership</td>
<td>Staff data (participation, engagement, career development, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence of integration of diverse expertise</td>
<td>Feedback/ data from work with peers, new initiatives/ initiatives in new settings, policy development, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence of team participation, development and conflict resolution (if applicable)</td>
<td>Use of team’s resources, approaches, publications, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence of positive outcomes for team members</td>
<td>Work with other partner/ external organisations, professional bodies, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review/ evaluation processes in place</td>
<td>Recognised achievements such as awards, accreditations, funding successes, investment levels, external partnerships, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence of improved effectiveness over time</td>
<td>Quantitative data to indicate the scale, reach and impact of the nominated team’s work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team member/ stakeholder testimonials</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

when selecting appropriate evidence, teams should consider:
- use of evidence that is meaningful and convincing in support of the team’s Claim; a focus on quality sources and not the quantity of different sources.
- balance of types of evidence; for example, a mixture of qualitative and quantitative data where appropriate to the nominated team’s context. Although a useful source to include, it is recommended to avoid over-reliance on testimony.

The decision about what constitutes appropriate evidence of impact rests with the nominating institution and the nominated team, but teams are encouraged to ensure that the student voice is made explicit within Section B of the Claim. Teams from various academic disciplines or roles inevitably demonstrate different communication and analytical styles and this will be accounted for in the assessment process. Team Claims will vary considerably in style and content; there is no ‘expected’ style or ‘formula’ to be followed.

**Value, Reach and Impact**

Reviewers will be looking for evidence that demonstrates the **value**, **reach** and **impact** of the nominated team’s practice. Teams should be mindful of this requirement and aim to provide evidence that demonstrates a balance of these three qualities across the Claim.

**Value** - The benefit derived for students and staff (which may take different forms). Value may include qualitative evidence such as a change in approach to learning among students or staff. For example, evidence may be provided about how the work being described has added value to the student learning experience or to teaching practice. Value may also relate to the quality of enhanced experiences and the meaningfulness of practices. Some nominated teams may also be working in settings where there are positive explicit ethical elements to their practice.

**Reach** - The scale of influence. Though ‘geographic’ reach may be important for some nominated teams, it is useful to consider other ways that a nominated team can demonstrate reach. Some nominated teams may demonstrate reach at a departmental/faculty/institutional/national/global level, for example, but others might provide evidence of how their practice has reached different groups of students, individuals and/or organisations (e.g., postgraduates, commuter students, students from minoritised ethnic groups, online learners, etc.).

**Impact** - The difference that has been made to policy, practice and/or student outcomes as a result of an activity. The focus here is on explicit evidence of positive change taking place. Impact evidence can be both quantitative and qualitative, but it is important to show how the activities described have changed learning outcomes for students and/or teaching practice.

When developing a CATE submission, nominated teams might focus on Value, Reach and Impact by asking the following questions: what is the added **value** of this team working in this way? How does working as **this** team, specifically, enhance their **reach**? How is the **impact** greater because this is a cohesive team, or because it is this particular team, with these particular members?
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Cooperative v. Collaborative Team Working

Nominated teams should aim to capture evidence of their collaborative working under both criteria. Collaboration is a state of interdependence that is likely to be much deeper than simply co-operating as members of a team. Successful Claims are likely to encompass aspects of practice that go beyond describing how the team works with others. The ethos of CATE is a recognition of team working as an important element of successful HE practice. Nominated teams should expect to describe how the team was established, has developed and how it continues to work to achieve effective impact.

The graphic below (reproduced with the permission of John Spencer) outlines some key distinctions between the two concepts. The qualities of collaboration listed in this illustration provide a useful prompt for nominated teams to collect effective evidence of this way of working.

Addressing Criterion 1: Excellence in the team’s collaborative approach

Evidence of excellence in the team’s approach to working collaboratively, commensurate with their context and the opportunities afforded by it.

Criterion 1 is focused primarily on the approach to and value of working collaboratively and planning for reach and impact. To give some illustrative examples, Criterion 1 could be demonstrated by providing evidence of excellence in terms of:
+ having a clear set of aims, objectives and rationale for the team’s approach and how the group constitutes a team and developed as a team.
+ demonstrating direct engagement of students within or with the team.
+ illustrating how the team has contributed to wider thematic and sector priorities, for example: assessment and feedback, retention, employability, staff development, students as partners, technology and social media, sustainability, enterprise and entrepreneurship, flexible learning, internationalisation, wellbeing, and EDI.
+ working cooperatively with a range of stakeholder groups.
+ embedding practices across different programmes, disciplines, campuses or institutions.
+ demonstrating team practices, which enable effective working.
+ being flexible and creative in working to address unanticipated situations or events.
+ processes in place for measuring the impact or outcomes of collaborative work.

Addressing Criterion 2: Excellence in the impact of collaborative working

Evidence of the team having a demonstrable impact on teaching and learning, including beyond their immediate academic or professional area.

Criterion 2 is focused on showing the reach and impact of the team’s work and value including beyond their initial context. To give some illustrative examples, Criterion 2 could be demonstrated by providing evidence of:

+ the reach of the team’s work.
+ the wider value that has resulted from working as a team.
+ the impact of supporting colleagues and/or influencing support for student learning.
+ the impact on student learning or outcomes.
+ the impact of any outcomes/outputs of collaborative work.

Reviewers ‘score’ each of the two parts to Section B separately. Appendix 3 sets out the scoring rubric used by reviewers to allocate scores (0-9) to evidence claimed against each of the two award criteria (maximum score of 18 per reviewer overall).

5.5 Section C: Reference List

The Claim includes a final section (Section C) where teams should provide a list of any references which they have drawn upon within the evidence they provide against each award criterion. For example, the team may be drawing upon the outcomes of relevant research, scholarship and professional practice and/or engaging with and contributing to the established literature or to their own evidence base for teaching and learning.

Nominated teams should not simply list all of their publications and presentations and should focus only on those which are directly linked to the material in Section B. Nominated teams
should also be aware that the Reference List should not be used to add hyperlinks to further supporting material as reviewers cannot follow these.

Though a word limit is not set for the Reference List, analysis of previous winning nominations indicates that a Reference List of more than 20 or less than 2 references is likely to be out of kilter with successful nominations. The Reference List should only include citations directly referred to within the evidence provided in Section B of the Claim.

The Reference List is not part of the review process and so is not ‘scored’ by reviewers. The purpose of the list is to allow reviewers to identify sources and to provide appropriate credit to an author who has inspired any areas of the team’s work that are evidenced within the Claim.

6. Statement of Support

The institution’s Statement of Support is an essential and critical aspect of the CATE nomination. It endorses the Claim made by the nominated team and frames the value, reach and impact of the team’s practice from an institutional perspective.

The Statement of Support should not be seen as a source of supplementary (or new) evidence; the core aspects of the team’s Claim, and evidence for these aspects, should be within Section B of the Claim. The institution’s Statement of Support is a complementary document intended solely to endorse the claims made within Section B.

We advise that the institutional Statement of Support should be written by a colleague in a senior position at the nominating institution (at DVC/PVC level or equivalent) familiar with the nominated team’s work. The Statement of Support should validate the impact evidenced by the team, provide institutional context for the team’s work, and provide any supporting information that might be more appropriately expressed by a senior colleague familiar with the nominated team’s practice; for example, a perspective on the strategic importance of the team’s work and/or change arising as a result of their actions.

It continues to be a requirement that the Vice-Chancellor/ Principal/ President (or equivalent) provides the final sign-off for the Statement of Support to confirm formal institutional endorsement for the nomination.

The Statement of Support (Appendix 2) should be completed using the pro-forma available to TEALs on Advance HE’s SharePoint site. It is recommended that the nominated team’s Claim for a CATE award is read prior to composing the institution’s Statement of Support as it is most helpful when this statement aligns well with the Claim. In particular, the statement should:

+ endorse the validity of the nominated team’s Claim.
+ provide an institutional context within which the nominated team has been identified as having made an impact on teaching and learning and outline any future plans to further disseminate their practice.
+ provide confirmation of institutional support for the nominated team, should they be successful, in terms of carrying out any responsibilities associated with having won a Collaborative Award for Teaching Excellence.
provide any additional supporting information which might be most appropriately expressed by a colleague working in a senior role (e.g., at the level of DVC/PVC or equivalent).

be endorsed by the Vice-Chancellor/ Principal/ President (or equivalent) to formalise the nomination and provide the name, job title and signature of the Vice-Chancellor/ Principal/ President (or equivalent).
7. Review and selection process

Each team nomination is considered by independent, external peer reviewers based on the information nominated teams submit against the two CATE award criteria in Section B of the Claim. The institutional Statement of Support validates the Claim made by the team from an institutional perspective. Section A (Parts 1 and 2) at the start of the Claim, containing the Core Team Composition and Context Statement, is not reviewed against the criteria; its purpose is to define the composition of the team and the context for the team’s work in order to frame the evidence provided within the following two parts of Section B of the Claim against the award criteria.

Advance HE peer reviewers are drawn from teaching and learning professionals across the higher education sector and are independent to Advance HE. Reviewers are all experienced in criteria-based assessment, will have successfully completed a moderation exercise and will have undergone training before acting as a CATE reviewer. Reviewers carry out their role on a confidential basis and their identity will not be shared with team members, nominating institutions or with the UK Teaching Excellence Awards Advisory Panel during the selection and award process.

Reviewers are asked to score (0-9) each part of Section B of the team’s Claim against each of the two CATE award criteria in turn, ensuring that each criterion is equally weighted in the assessment process. Strict word limits are set for the Claim and reviewers are instructed not to consider any part of Section B of the Claim which exceeds the permitted maximum word limit of 4,500 words overall. The scoring rubric (Appendix 3) is used by reviewers to allocate scores.

In 2024, Advance HE will allocate all nominations to three reviewers, avoiding any conflicts of interest. The reviewers score each of the two criterion 0-9. A series of algorithms are applied to differentiate scores and create overall ranking. Where one reviewer’s scores are defined as discrepant from the other two reviewers’ scores, a fourth reviewer will be used and the three closest scores used in the ranking calculations.

All reviewers assess each Claim independently and without consultation.

Advance HE oversees the standardisation of assessment, and monitors and processes all nominated team data in order to report to the UK Teaching Excellence Awards Advisory Panel. The approach used ensures that no nominated team is disadvantaged by discrepancies in marking and that all teams are treated fairly and consistently. The UK Teaching Excellence Awards Advisory Panel reviews equal opportunities data, the rankings and reviewer comments and makes recommendations for award winners, which are subsequently confirmed by the Advance HE Chief Executive Group.

Advance HE is committed to promoting equality, diversity and inclusion. In processing nominations, it ensures that no nominated team is treated less favourably than others on the grounds of gender, race, nationality, ethnic or national origin, religious or political beliefs, disability, marital status, social background, family circumstances, sexual orientation, gender reassignment, spent criminal convictions, age, or for any other unlawful reason.
8. CATE-Net support events

In addition to the guidance presented within this document, members of the CATE-Net (network of previous CATE winners), run a series of online support workshops for teams developing nominations. Previous attendees have reported that these events provided valuable support for the writing of the team’s Claim.

The CATE-Net support events are free to attend. TEALs will be sent the booking links and are responsible for promoting the events within their institution. Institutions that wish to change the contact details for their TEAL should email the team at cate@advance-he.ac.uk.

9. Outcomes and publicity

Team Leaders and their TEALs will be informed of the outcome of their nomination via email in the week commencing Monday 24 June 2024. The Vice-Chancellor/Principal/President (or equivalent) of each nominating institution will also be informed on this date if their nominated team is successful.

The announcement of 2024 CATE award winners will be strictly embargoed until Thursday 8 August 2024. While under embargo, successful Team Leaders and their TEALs are permitted to disclose news of their win to relevant members of their HEP’s marketing and communications team for planning purposes; these colleagues must then also adhere to the conditions of the embargo. Please direct all queries regarding plans for announcement day to communications@advance-he.ac.uk.

It is a condition of the CATE competition that the successful team, and their nomination institution, do not share news of their success before this date in order to maximise publicity. Contravention of this requirement could lead to the award being revoked. The names of the 2024 CATE award winners will be officially announced on Thursday 8 August 2024 on Advance HE’s website and in a press release.

Institutions are welcome to announce and celebrate their winners from 08:00 (BST) on Thursday 8 August.

Each successful Team Leader and Deputy Team Leader will receive an interactive digital CATE badge on, or soon after, the official outcome announcement date. This can be downloaded and shared or added to their email signature. The badge will reflect the Team Leader or Deputy Team Leader status and this information will be taken from the nominee information entered into SMA by the TEAL during the submission process. See example badges below.
The CATE is a competition and thus the Panel’s decision is final and no appeals can be made against their decision. The Advance HE complaints process should be used to address any concerns relating to fair treatment in the administration of nominations. In the first instance if any questions or concerns arise, please email cate@advance-he.ac.uk.

9.1 Publicity Information and Photographs

The following information will be requested by the Advance HE Marketing team from the TEAL and/or successful Team Leader and Deputy Team Leader at the time that the individual outcomes have been released (see above, week commencing 24th June 2024) for use on the Advance HE website and in the ceremony brochure. Information and photographs should be submitted to communications@advance-he.ac.uk by 15th July 2024.

Nominated Team Information:

+ Team profile (long). A 350 word personal profile written in the third person (‘they’ or ‘the team’) to include:
  - Overview of the team. This should give brief details of the team members and their contribution to the team;
  - Overview of impact. This should give highlights of the collaborative work of the team that has had a demonstrable impact on teaching and learning;
  - Make it personal. Telling the team’s story. Examples of previous profiles can be found on Advance HE’s website for reference.
+ Team profile (short). A 50-word summary of the Team profile, in the third person.
+ Social media contacts such as the Team Leader and Deputy Team Leader personal Twitter handles/Linked in profiles (as appropriate), Institutional Twitter handle, etc.

Photographs:

Photographs of nominated teams will no longer be required at the time of nomination. Photographs and will only be requested for winners of the CATE. These will be requested by the Advance HE Marketing team from the TEAL and/or successful Team Leader or their Deputy at the time that the individual outcomes have been released (see above, week commencing 24th June 2024) for use on the Advance HE website and in the ceremony brochure. Photographs should be submitted to communications@advance-he.ac.uk.
Photograph requirements:

- Three different high-resolution photographs of 1Mb and 300dpi (minimum) of the team (note that this can be achieved with most smartphones and non-professional cameras);
- Photographs should be submitted in JPEG format;
- All photographs should be in landscape format;
- The team may submit an institutional logo in lieu of groups photographs if needed.

Please note that the submission of photographs indicates that a HEP has sought team agreement for the use of these images by Advance HE and in submitting images to Advance HE, the team members are providing consent for Advance HE to use these images for promotional purposes or publicity. Team members are also confirming that they are the owner of any intellectual property rights or have appropriate license to share these images with Advance HE and for Advance HE to use the images provided for this purpose.

If team information and photographs (or institutional logo) are not received ahead of the deadline, the winning team’s profile will show their team name and institution only. We cannot guarantee that information and imagery received after the deadline (15 July 2024) will be edited and uploaded in time for the official announcement.

9.2 Feedback

Following the publication of the outcome of CATE 2024, Advance HE will issue written feedback to each nominated team and their TEAL by the end of September 2024. This timeframe is necessitated by the large volume of CATE nominations received, and the considerable work that goes into checking and collating the written feedback from each of the three reviewers over both CATE criteria. This qualitative feedback from the reviewers is intended to support plans for future development. Reviewer scores and nomination rankings are not released.

10. Award ceremony and briefing event

The CATE 2024 winners will be invited to attend a celebratory dinner that will be held in Autumn 2024 (date and venue to be confirmed), to which two members of the team, and the Vice-Chancellor/Principal/President (or equivalent) will be invited. Additional tickets are also available for purchase.
Appendix 1: CATE 2024 Nominated Team Claim Form

Team Leader name:

Deputy Team Leader name:

Team name:

Institution:

Section A, Part 1: Core Team Composition (not scored by reviewers)
Add more rows as required (maximum 15 members including Team and Deputy Team Leaders)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Role and/or Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section A, Part 2: Context Statement (not scored by reviewers) (300-word limit)

Enter word count for Section A, part 2 here:

Section B: Claim against the CATE Award Criteria
(evidence against each Award Criterion 1 and 2 scored separately by reviewers)

Criterion 1: Excellence in the team’s collaborative approach
Evidence of excellence in the team’s approach to working collaboratively; commensurate with their context and the opportunities afforded by it.
**Criterion 2: Excellence in the impact of collaborative working**

Evidence of the team having a demonstrable impact on teaching and learning, including beyond their immediate academic or professional area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total word count for Section B (maximum 4500 words)</th>
<th>Enter word count for Section B here (include word count within tables, graphs and diagrams):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section C: Reference List (not scored by reviewers)**

**Team Leader signature**:  
(A typewritten name is acceptable)

**Date:**

By submitting this document, I confirm that:

- I am not a TEAL or Deputy TEAL or a nominee for NTFS 2024
- I agree that the TEAL for my Institution can complete the team’s online nomination, including the personal information required.
- This Claim is solely the work of the nominated CATE team
- I have read, understood and agree to the Advance HE Privacy statement
Appendix 2: Statement of Support

This Statement of Support should be **completed** by a colleague in a senior position at the nominating institution (DVC/PVC or equivalent) familiar with the nominated team’s teaching and learning practice and **signed** by the institution’s Vice-Chancellor/Principal/President (or equivalent). It is important that the team’s Claim is read prior to composing this statement. It is most helpful if the Statement of Support aligns well with the Claim.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of person writing Institutional Statement of Support:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Position:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact details (work address, email and phone):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of nominated CATE team:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**This Statement of Support should:**
- **endorse the validity of the nominated team’s Claim for outstanding impact;**
- **provide an institutional context within which the nominated team has been identified as having outstanding impact and outline any future plans to disseminate their practice;**
- **provide any additional supporting information which might be most appropriately expressed by a colleague in a senior role (at the level of DVC/PVC or equivalent) familiar with the nominated team’s work.**

**Enter Statement of Support here:**

**Word Count (Max 1000 words):** Enter word count here

**Institutional sign off by Vice-Chancellor (or equivalent)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name, position and contact email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Electronic Signature**

(*scanned signature and not typewritten)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**By signing this document, I confirm that:**
- I endorse this nomination and confirm that the internal nomination process which led to the selection of the named CATE team was fair, transparent and adhered to our institutional equality, diversity and inclusion policy (or equivalent).
- I have read, understood and agree to the Advance HE Privacy Statement.
- Advance HE may use this form as confirmation that the institution will fully support the nominated team in the conduct of any and all activities associated with the role of a CATE winning team if successful.
# Appendix 3: 2024 CATE Scoring Rubric

Reviewers use the scoring rubric below to ‘score’ each of the two parts to Section B of the nominated team’s Claim against each of the two CATE award criteria (i.e. an overall maximum score of 18 from each of the three reviewers).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion 1: Excellence in the team’s collaborative approach</th>
<th>0 points</th>
<th>1-2 points</th>
<th>3-4 points</th>
<th>5-6 points</th>
<th>7-8 points</th>
<th>9 points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Evidence is <strong>not related</strong> to excellence in the team’s approach to working collaboratively, commensurate with their context.</td>
<td><strong>Partial</strong> evidence of excellence in the team’s approach to working collaboratively, commensurate with their context.</td>
<td><strong>Some good</strong> evidence of excellence in the team’s approach to working collaboratively, commensurate with their context.</td>
<td><strong>Good, with some very good</strong>, evidence of excellence in the team’s approach to working collaboratively, commensurate with their context.</td>
<td><strong>Very good, with some outstanding</strong>, evidence of excellence in the team’s approach to working collaboratively, commensurate with their context.</td>
<td>Exceptional evidence of excellence in the team’s approach to working collaboratively, commensurate with their context.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion 2: Excellence in the impact of collaborative working</th>
<th>0 points</th>
<th>1-2 points</th>
<th>3-4 points</th>
<th>5-6 points</th>
<th>7-8 points</th>
<th>9 points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Evidence does not demonstrate the team’s impact on teaching and learning.</td>
<td><strong>Limited</strong> evidence of the team’s impact on teaching and learning, including going beyond their academic or professional area.</td>
<td><strong>Some good</strong> evidence of the team’s impact on teaching and learning, including going beyond their academic or professional area.</td>
<td><strong>Good, with some very good</strong>, evidence of the team’s impact on teaching and learning, including going beyond their academic or professional area.</td>
<td><strong>Very good, with some outstanding</strong>, evidence of the team’s impact on teaching and learning, including going beyond their academic or professional area.</td>
<td>Exceptional evidence of the team’s impact on teaching and learning, including going beyond their academic or professional area.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>